arXiv:1611.06849v1 [math.SG] 21 Nov 2016

# A Log PSS morphism with applications to Lagrangian embeddings

Sheel Ganatra and Daniel Pomerleano

Abstract. Let $M$ be a smooth projective variety and $\mathbf{D}$ an ample normal crossings divisor. From topological data associated to the pair $(M, \mathbf{D})$, we construct, under assumptions on Gromov-Witten invariants, a series of distinguished classes in symplectic cohomology of the complement $X = M \backslash \mathbf{D}$. Under further "topological" assumptions on the pair, these classes can be organized into a *Log(arithmic) PSS morphism*, from a vector space which we term the *logarithmic cohomology* of $(M, \mathbf{D})$ to symplectic cohomology. Turning to applications, we show that these methods and some knowledge of Gromov-Witten invariants can be used to produce *dilations* and *quasi-dilations* (in the sense of Seidel-Solomon [**SS**]) in examples such as conic bundles and open parts of isotropic Grassmannians. In turn, the existence of such elements imposes strong restrictions on exact Lagrangian embeddings, especially in dimension 3. For instance, we prove that any exact Lagrangian in a complex 3-dimensional conic bundle over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ must be diffeomorphic to $T^3$ or a connect sum $\#^n S^1 \times S^2$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a smooth projective variety, $\mathbf{D}$ an ample strict (or simple) normal crossings divisor and $X = M \setminus \mathbf{D}$ its complement. The affine variety $X$ has the structure of an exact finite-type convex symplectic manifold and hence one can associate to $X$ its *symplectic cohomology*, $SH^*(X)$ [**FH**, **CFH**, **V2**], a version of classical Hamiltonian Floer cohomology defined for such manifolds. Symplectic cohomology has a rich TQFT structure and plays a central role in understanding the Fukaya categories of such $X$ [**S4**, **A1**] as well as various approaches to mirror symmetry [**GHK2**].

While there are very few complete computations of symplectic cohomology in the literature, Seidel [**S4**, **S1**] has suggested that symplectic cohomology for $X$ as above should be computable in terms of topological data associated to the compactification $(M, \mathbf{D})$ and (relative) Gromov-Witten invariants of $(M, \mathbf{D})$. In the case when $\mathbf{D}$ is smooth, this proposal has been explored (and is being explored) in some depth by Diogo and Diogo-Lisi [**D**, **DL**].

The first part of this work introduces an approach to studying the symplectic cohomology $SH^*(X)$ in terms of the relative geometry of the compactification $(M, \mathbf{D})$ (when $\mathbf{D}$ is normal crossings). In §3.2 we introduce an abelian group which captures the combinatorics

S. G. was partially supported by the National Science Foundation through a postdoctoral fellowship — grant number DMS-1204393 — and agreement number DMS-1128155. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

D. P. was supported by Kavli IPMU, EPSRC, and Imperial College.

and topology of the normal crossings compactification, called the *log(arithmic) cohomology of* $(M, \mathbf{D})$

$$H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D}). \tag{1.1}$$

In the special case that $\mathbf{D} = D$ is a smooth divisor, the log cohomology has a simple form:

$$H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D}) = H^*(M \setminus \mathbf{D}) \oplus tH^*(S_D)[t] \tag{1.2}$$

where $S_D$ denotes the unit normal bundle to $D$. In general, $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ is generated by classes of the form $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$, where $\alpha$ lies in the cohomology of certain torus bundles over open strata of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ is a multiplicity vector.

Our approach is inspired by a map introduced by Piunikhin, Salamon, and Schwarz [**PSS**] relating the (quantum) cohomology of $M$ and the Hamiltonian Floer cohomology of a non-degenerate Hamiltonian. Namely, we define a linear subspace of *admissible classes* $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})^{ad} \subset H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ together with a map

$$\mathrm{PSS}^+_{log} : H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})^{ad} \to SH^*_+(X) \tag{1.3}$$

where $SH^*_+$ is the *positive* or *high energy part* of symplectic cohomology, a canonically defined quotient of the complex defining $SH^*$ by "low energy (cohomological) generators."

There is a wide class of *topological pairs* $(M, \mathbf{D})$ for which the map (1.3) is particularly well behaved. The key feature of such pairs is that the relevant moduli spaces of relative pseudo-holomorphic curves are all generically empty. A typical example of a topological pair is the case where $M$ is any projective variety and $\mathbf{D}$ is the union of at least $n+1$ generic hyperplane sections, where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. In the topological case, we have $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})^{ad} = H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ and moreover there is a canonical lifting of (1.3) to a *Log PSS morphism*

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log} : H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D}) \to SH^*(X) \tag{1.4}$$

It should be noted that the construction of this map and the proof of the chain equation are considerably more involved than for its classical analogue. In a sequel to this paper [**GP**], we will define a natural ring structure on $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ and show that (1.4) is a ring isomorphism for all topological pairs $(M, \mathbf{D})$, leading to many explicit new computations of symplectic cohomology rings. When the pair $(M, \mathbf{D})$ is not topological, we formulate the obstruction to lifting a given admissible class to $SH^*(X)$ in terms of a Gromov Witten invariant. When this obstruction vanishes, this provides a way to produce *distinguished classes* in $SH^*(X)$ which we will show may be applied to study the symplectic topology of $X$.

It seems likely from an analysis of the map (1.4) that one could generalize the isomorphism (1.4) to more general pairs $(M, \mathbf{D})$ by considering an appropriate cochain model $C^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ and equipping it with a differential which accounts for non-vanishing relative Gromov-Witten moduli spaces. This would require stronger analytic results to deal with the subtle compactness and transversality questions which arise. Our obstruction theory is inspired by this and can be viewed as an elementary special case of this conjectural theory.

The rest of this paper explores applications of our construction to Lagrangian embeddings, primarily outside the topological case. Our main set of examples are conic bundles over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$. Let $h(\mathbf{w})$ be a generic Laurent polynomial in $n-1$-variables and $Z^o \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ denote the zero locus of $h(\mathbf{w})$. Set $X$ to be the affine variety given by

$$X = \{(u, v, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1} | uv = h(\mathbf{w})\} \tag{1.5}$$

For simplicity, we assume that $Z^0$ is connected. The symplectic topology of these varieties (and the closely related conic bundles over $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$) is very rich and can be approached from different perspectives, see for instance [**AAK**, **S5**, **KS**]. For example, there is a standard construction of Lagrangian spheres in $X$ given by taking a suitable Lagrangian disc $j : D^{n-1} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ with boundary on the discriminant locus and "suspending" it to a Lagrangian $S^n \hookrightarrow X$ [**HIV**, **GM**, **S6**].

It is natural to ask: *what are the possible topologies of exact Lagrangians in these conic bundles*? The suspension construction typically provides a large collection of Lagrangian spheres and Seidel [**S5**, Chapter 11] has provided constructions of exact Lagrangian tori in certain examples. Our first application is a relatively complete classification of the diffeomorphism types of exact Lagrangian submanifolds in 3-dimensional conic bundles over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$.

THEOREM 1.1. *(See Theorem 6.18) Let $X$ be a 3-dimensional conic bundle over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ of the form (1.5), and let $Q \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed, oriented, exact Lagrangian submanifold of $X$. Then $Q$ is either diffeomorphic to $T^3$ or $\#_n S^1 \times S^2$ (by convention, the case $n = 0$ corresponds to $S^3$).*

By combining our methods with those from [**S2**], we also prove the following result concerning disjoinability of Lagrangian spheres:

THEOREM 1.2. *(See Theorem 6.16) Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field and $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer. Suppose that $X$ is a conic bundle of the form (1.5) of total dimension $n$ over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ and that $Q_1, \cdots, Q_r$ is a collection of embedded Lagrangian spheres which are pairwise disjoinable. Then the classes $[Q_1], \cdots, [Q_r]$ span a subspace of $H_n(X, \mathbf{k})$ which has rank at least $r/2$.*

An important special case is when $r = 1$, in which case the theorem immediately implies that for any embedded Lagrangian sphere $Q \hookrightarrow X$, the class $[Q] \in H_n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero and primitive (Corollary 6.17). To explain how these results connect to our discussion of Log PSS, note that the $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}$ morphism gives a method for producing distinguished classes in $SH^*(X)$, and *algebraic relations between them*[1]. It is well-understood, going back to ideas of Viterbo, that the existence of solutions in $SH^*(X)$ satisfying certain relations often produce strong restrictions on Lagrangian embeddings [**V1**, **SS**, **S2**]. Concretely, let $Q \hookrightarrow X$ denote an exact Lagrangian embedding of a closed Spin manifold. The well-known *Viterbo transfer map* [**V1**, **R**] gives a unital BV morphism from $SH^*(X)$ to the symplectic cohomology of a neighborhood of $Q$, $SH^*(T^*Q)$, which in turn can be identified [**V1**, **SW**, **AbSc2**, **AbSc1**, **A2**] with the *string topology* BV algebra of $Q$, $H_{n-*}(\mathcal{L}Q)$, a topological invariant [**CS**]. Thus, one can transfer distinguished elements $B \in SH^*(X)$ to distinguished elements in $H_{n-*}(\mathcal{L}Q)$, which give restrictions on the topology of $Q$.

As an example, a *dilation* [**SS**] is an element $B \in SH^1(X)$ satisfying $\Delta B = 1$, where $\Delta$ denotes the BV operator. A straightforward application of Viterbo's principle (along with a string topology computation) implies that if $SH^*(X)$ admits a dilation, $X$ does not contain an exact Lagrangian $K(\pi, 1)$ [**SS**, Cor. 6.3]. It should be noted that the existence of dilations has other implications for Lagrangian embeddings as well, for example [**S2**] has used dilations to study disjoinability questions for Lagrangian spheres. Weakening the above condition, a *quasi-dilation* ([**S5**, page 194], where the definition is attributed to Seidel-Solomon) is a pair $(B, h) \in SH^1(X) \times SH^0(X)$ where $h$ is invertible and $\Delta(hB) = h$. The

[1]Much like the classical PSS morphism, $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}$ in many cases intertwines topological/GW-type relations in $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ with TQFT-structure relations in $SH^*(X)$. This will be explored further in the sequel [**GP**].

existence of quasi-dilations imposes slightly weaker, but nevertheless quite strong constraints on the topology of $Q$. We make these constraints very explicit when $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} Q = 3$, using techniques from 3-manifold topology:

PROPOSITION 1.3. *(Corollary 5.9) Let $Q^3 \hookrightarrow X$ be an exact Lagrangian embedding of a closed oriented 3-manifold. If $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ admits a quasi-dilation, then $Q$ is finitely covered by a product $S^1 \times B$ or by a connected sum $\#_n S^1 \times S^2$.*

When the quasi-dilation lifts to $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, it is possible to refine Proposition 1.3 somewhat; see Corollary 5.9. Seidel [**S5**, Lecture 19] has shown that quasi-dilations have similar implications for disjoinability questions as dilations. As usual, the chief difficulty in applying these results to restrict exact Lagrangian embeddings is performing partial computations of the algebra structure in symplectic cohomology to produce quasi-dilations. Using mirror symmetric considerations, Seidel [**S5**, first paragraph of Lecture 19] has suggested the following result which we prove.

THEOREM 1.4. *(Theorem 6.9) Let $X$ be the conic bundle appearing in (1.5). Then $SH^*(X)$ admits a quasi-dilation over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

In fact, our result here is more general and applies for example to standard conic fibrations over more general bases. Theorem 1.1 is proven by combining Theorem 1.4 with some additional algebraic relations which exist in the zeroth symplectic cohomology group $SH^0(X)$ and which enable us to rule out all of the remaining possibilities not excluded by Lemma 5.7. Following Theorem 6.18, we provide examples of exact Lagrangian embeddings $\#_n S^1 \times S^2 \to X$ for $n \geq 2$ (these arise by essentially replacing the disc in the suspension construction by a suitable exact embedding of $C_n$, a sphere minus $n+1$-discs). Theorem 1.2 follows by combining Seidel's results [**S2**] with Theorem 1.4. An essential ingredient in this theorem is the specific geometry of the construction of the quasi-dilation, which is what enables us to interpret the constraints imposed by disjoinability in terms of the topology of $X$.

We expect that our techniques apply in many other geometric situations as well. For example, we also study the case where $M$ is a Fano variety of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $D$ is a smooth ample divisor satisfying $H^2(M, \mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k} \cdot c_1(D)$ and $K_M^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(mD)$ with $m \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Under hypotheses on the cohomology and Gromov-Witten invariants of such $(M, D)$, we show using $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}$ that $SH^*(M \backslash D)$ admits a dilation, see Theorem 6.1 below. The theorem is closely related to a question of Seidel [**S5**, Conjecture 18.6], see Remark 6.4. We also illustrate how this theorem may be applied by studying an interesting example where $M$ is an isotropic Grassmannian $IG(2, 2n+2)$ parameterizing isotropic two planes in a symplectic vector space of dimension $2n+2$ and $D$ is a generic hyperplane section.

Finally, we note that there are several independent recent and/or ongoing works which have non-trivial conceptual overlap with the present paper. We have already mentioned the work in progress of Diogo-Lisi [**D**, **DL**], who use techniques from symplectic field theory to give a formula for the abelian group $SH^*(X)$ in terms of topological data and relative Gromov-Witten invariants for a large class of pairs $(M, \mathbf{D})$ with $\mathbf{D} = D$ smooth. Closer in spirit to the present work, a forthcoming paper of Borman and Sheridan [**BS**] constructs a particular distinguished class in $SH^*(M \backslash \mathbf{D})$ for suitable pairs $(M, \mathbf{D})$ (see Remark 4.25 for more details about how their class fits into our framework) and uses this to develop a relationship between $SH^*(X)$ and $QH^*(M)$, the quantum cohomology of the compactification $M$. A modified version of their construction in the setting of Lefschetz pencils also appears in a recent preprint of Seidel [**S3**].

**Acknowledgments**: Paul Seidel's work has decisively influenced many aspects of this paper; he also answered the author's questions concerning [**S5**] and gave comments on an earlier draft. The authors had some inspiring conversations with Strom Borman, Luis Diogo, Yakov Eliashberg, Eleny Ionel, Mark McLean, Sam Lisi, and Nick Sheridan about symplectic cohomology and normal crossings compactifications at an early stage of this project. The examples following Theorem 6.18 are based upon a suggestion of Jonny Evans. Alessio Corti suggested the $IG(2, 2n+2)$ examples and also patiently answered questions about conic bundles. Clelia Pech also helped us with these examples by calculating the matrix relating the two bases in the cohomology of the isotropic Grassmannian and giving useful clarifications concerning the (quantum) Pieri rules. We thank them all for their assistance.

**Conventions:** Throughout this paper the ring $\mathbf{k}$ will be either a field or the integers. All grading conventions in this paper are cohomological. Conventions for gradings in symplectic cohomology follow [**A1**].

## 2. Symplectic cohomology

**2.1. The definition.** Let $(\bar{X}, \theta)$ be a *Liouville domain*; that is, a $2n$ dimensional manifold $\bar{X}$ with boundary $\partial\bar{X}$ with one form $\theta$ such that $\omega := d\theta$ is symplectic and the Liouville vector field $Z$ (defined as the $\omega$ dual of $\theta$) is outward pointing along $\partial\bar{X}$. More precisely, flowing along $-Z$ is defined for all times, giving rise to a canonical embedding of the negative half of the symplectization

$$j : (0,1]_R \times \partial\bar{X} \to X \tag{2.1}$$

$$j^*(\omega) = d(R\theta|_{\partial\bar{X}}) \tag{2.2}$$

The *(Liouville) completion* of $\bar{X}$ is formed by attaching (using the aforementioned identification) a cylindrical end to the boundary of $\bar{X}$:

$$X := \bar{X} \cup_{\partial\bar{X}} [1,\infty)_R \times \partial\bar{X}. \tag{2.3}$$

The completion $X$ is also exact symplectic, equipped with one form $\hat{\theta}$ with $\hat{\omega} = d\hat{\theta}$ symplectic. Explicitly, $\hat{\theta}$ is equal to $\theta$ on $\bar{X}$ and $R\theta$ on the cylindrical end, where $R$ denotes the canonical $[1,\infty)$ coordinate. Recall that in the above situation, $(\partial\bar{X}, \alpha := \theta|_{\partial\bar{X}})$ is a *contact manifold*; it possesses a canonical *Reeb vector field* $X_{Reeb}$ determined by $\alpha(X_{Reeb}) = 1$, $d\alpha(X_{Reeb}, -) = 0$. The *spectrum of* $\partial\bar{X}$, denoted $\mathrm{Spec}(\partial\bar{X}, \theta)$ is the set of real numbers which are lengths of Reeb orbits in $\partial\bar{X}$.

Observe that after choosing a compatible almost-complex structure then (negative) the first Chern class $-c_1(X)$ is represented by a complex line bundle $\mathcal{K}$. For simplicity (and in order to set up $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings), we will assume that $c_1(X) = 0$ and moreover that we have fixed a choice of trivialization of $\mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.1. *A (time-dependent) Hamiltonian $H : S^1 \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is* admissible with slope $\lambda$ *if $H(t,x) = \lambda R$ near infinity, where $R$ is the cylindrical coordinate near $\infty$ (note $R$ only depends on $x \in X$).*

For each positive real number $\lambda$ which is not in the spectrum of $\partial\bar{X}$, we choose an admissible Hamiltonian of slope $\lambda$, denoted $H^\lambda$. Each Hamiltonian $H$ determines an *action functional* on the free loop space of $X$, whose value on a free loop $x : S^1 \to X$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_H(x) := -\int_{S^1} x^*\hat{\theta} + \int_0^1 H(t, x(t))dt$$

By definition (and partly convention), the *Hamiltonian vector-field* of $H$ with respect to $\hat{\omega}$ is the unique ($S^1$-dependent) vector-field $X_H$ on $M$ such that $\hat{\omega}(-, X_H) = dH$. Critical points of $\mathcal{A}_H$ are time-1 orbits of the Hamiltonian vector-field $X_H$ with respect to the symplectic form $\hat{\omega} = d\hat{\theta}$. It is well-known that we may perturb the functions $H^\lambda$ so that all 1-periodic orbits are non-degenerate, while keeping the functions admissible (for a nice reference directly applicable to our setting see [**M2**, Lemma 2.2]).

Let $\mathcal{X}(H^\lambda)$ denote the set of time-1 orbits of $X_{H^\lambda}$. To each orbit $x \in \mathcal{X}(H^\lambda)$, there is an associated one-dimensional real vector space $\mathfrak{o}_x$ called the *orientation line*, defined via index theory as the *determinant line* of a local operator $D_x$ associated to $x$ (this goes back to [**FHS**] but our treatment follows e.g., [**A1**, §C.6]; note particularly that the choice of local operator is fixed by the choice of trivialization of $\mathcal{K}$ chosen above). Over a ring $\mathbf{k}$, the *Floer co-chain complex* is, as a vector space

$$CF^*(X, H^\lambda) := \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{X}(H^\lambda)} |\mathfrak{o}_x|_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{2.4}$$

where for any real one-dimensional vector space $V$, its $\mathbf{k}$-*normalization*

$$|V|_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{2.5}$$

pick a compatible (potentially $t \in S^1$-dependent) almost complex structure $J_t$ which is *of contact type*, meaning on the conical end, it satisfies

$$\hat{\theta} \circ J_t = dR. \tag{2.6}$$

Given a pair of orbits $x_+$ and $x_-$, a *Floer trajectory* between $x_+$ and $x_-$ is formally a gradient flowline for $\mathcal{A}_H$ between $x_+$ and $x_-$ using the metric on $\mathcal{L}X$ induced by $J_t$, or equivalently a map $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to X$, asymptotic to $x_\pm$ at $\pm\infty$ satisfying a PDE called *Floer's equation*:

$$\begin{cases} u \colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to X, \\ \lim_{s \to -\infty} u(s, -) = x_0 \\ \lim_{s \to +\infty} u(s, -) = x_1 \\ \partial_s u + J_t(\partial_t u - X_{H_t^\lambda}) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Denote by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1) \tag{2.8}$$

the moduli space of Floer trajectories between $x_1$ and $x_0$, or solutions to (2.7). For generic $J_t$, (2.8) is a manifold of dimension

$$\deg(x_0) - \deg(x_1)$$

where $\deg(x)$, the index of the local operator $D_x$ associated to $x$, is equal to $n - CZ(x)$, where $CZ(x)$ is the Conley-Zehnder index of $x$ [**FHS**]. There is an induced $\mathbb{R}$-action on the moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1)$ given by translation in the $s$-direction, which is free for non-constant solutions. Whenever $\deg(x_0) - \deg(x_1) \geq 1$, for a generic $J_t$ the quotient space

$$\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1) := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1)/\mathbb{R} \tag{2.9}$$

is a manifold of dimension $\deg(x_0) - \deg(x_1) - 1$.

The most delicate part of setting up such a theory for exact (non-compact) symplectic manifolds, where much of the analysis is otherwise simplified, is an *a priori compactness*

*result* ensuring that solutions to the PDE cannot escape to $\infty$ in the target (this is vital input into the usual Gromov compactness theorems for moduli spaces, which apply to maps into a compact target). Technically, one can ensure a priori compactness by carefully choosing the behavior of $J_t$ and $H$ near $\infty$ so that a sort of *maximum principle holds* for solutions to Floer's equation [**S1**] (at least outside a compact set). The maximum principle ensures that such solutions remain in a compact set $Z$, depending only on the asymptotic conditions.

A suitable version of Gromov compactness then ensures that for generic choices, whenever $\deg(x_0) - \deg(x_1) = 1$, the moduli space (2.9) is compact of dimension 0. Moreover, orientation theory associates, to every rigid element $u \in \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1)$ an isomorphism of orientation lines $\mu_u : \mathfrak{o}_{x_1} \to \mathfrak{o}_{x_0}$ and hence an induced map $\mu_u : |\mathfrak{o}_{x_1}|_{\mathbf{k}} \to |\mathfrak{o}_{x_0}|_{\mathbf{k}}$. Using this, one defines the $|\mathfrak{o}_{x_1}|_{\mathbf{k}} - |\mathfrak{o}_{x_0}|_{\mathbf{k}}$ component of the differential

$$(\partial_{CF})_{x_1,x_0} = \sum_{u \in |\mathcal{M}(x_0,x_1)/\mathbb{R}|} \mu_u \tag{2.10}$$

whenever $\deg(x_1) = \deg(x_0) + 1$. When applied to 2 dimensional moduli spaces (which are 1 dimensional after quotienting by $\mathbb{R}$), Gromov compactness and gluing analysis implies that:

LEMMA 2.2. *Given $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}(H^\lambda)$ such that $\deg(x_1) = \deg(x_0) + 2$ for generic $J_t$, $\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1)$ admits a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1)$ with*

$$\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1) := \bigsqcup_{y \in \mathcal{X}(H^\lambda), \deg(y) = \deg(x_0)+1} \mathcal{M}(y, x_1) \times \mathcal{M}(x_0, y).$$

By a standard argument this implies that $\partial_{CF}^2 = 0$ and hence that the cohomology of $\partial_{CF}$ is well-defined. We will denote by

$$HF^*(X, H^\lambda) := H^*(CF^*(X, H^\lambda), \partial_{CF}); \tag{2.11}$$

standard techniques involving continuation maps shows that this group only depends on $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, whenever $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1$ there are continuation maps [**S1**]

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} : HF^*(X, H^{\lambda_1}) \to HF^*(X, H^{\lambda_2}) \tag{2.12}$$

defined as follows: Let $H_{s,t}$ be a map from $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to C^\infty(X; \mathbb{R})$ which agrees with $H^{\lambda_1}$ near $+\infty$ and $H^{\lambda_2}$ near $-\infty$ and which is monotonic, meaning (at least away from a compact set in $X$) $\partial_s H \leq 0$. Let $J_{s,t}$ be a compatible $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ dependent almost complex structure agreeing with a choice of $J_{\lambda_1}$ used to define $CF^*(X, H^{\lambda_1})$ near $+\infty$ and a choice of $J_{\lambda_2}$ used to define $CF^*(X, H^{\lambda_2})$ near $-\infty$. Then, if $x_1$ is an orbit of $H^{\lambda_1}$ and $x_2$ is an orbit of $H^{\lambda_2}$, the $\mathfrak{o}_{x_1} - \mathfrak{o}_{x_2}$ component of the map (2.12) is defined on the chain level by counting maps $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to X$ satisfying Floer's equation for $H_{s,t}$ and $J_{s,t}$:

$$\partial_s u + J_{s,t}(\partial_t u - X_{H_{s,t}}) = 0$$

which in addition satisfy requisite asymptotics.

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{s \to -\infty} u(s, -) = x_2 \\ \lim_{s \to +\infty} u(s, -) = x_1 \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

(A standard transversality, compactness, and gluing argument ensures that the chain level map is in fact a chain map, as long as a maximum principle holds for elements of the moduli space. This is where one requires $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1$.).

Define symplectic cohomology to be the colimit of this directed system

$$SH^*(X) := \varinjlim_{\lambda} HF^*(X, H^\lambda) \tag{2.14}$$

It is not hard to prove that this definition is independent of the various choices made along the way [**S1**]. There are canonical morphisms:

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\infty} : HF^*(X, H^\lambda) \to SH^*(X) \tag{2.15}$$

The most canonical formulation of our log PSS map (in the presence of holomorphic spheres) is in terms of the modified "high energy theory" $SH^*_+(X)$, whose construction is most convenient with a slightly more restrictive choice of Hamiltonians. Namely, consider Hamiltonians $H^\lambda$ for which:

- $H^\lambda = 0$ on $\bar{X}$
- Over the collar region satisfy $H^\lambda = h_\lambda(r)$, with $h'_\lambda(r) \geq 0$ and $h''_\lambda(r) \geq 0$

After taking a suitable small perturbation, which for simplicity we may assume is time independent in the interior, action considerations show that the (orientation lines associated to) orbits in the interior generate a subcomplex $CF^*_-(X, H^\lambda) \subset CF^*(X, H^\lambda)$. Set

$$CF^*_+(X, H^\lambda) := \frac{CF^*(X, H^\lambda)}{CF^*_-(X, H^\lambda)} \tag{2.16}$$

This construction passes to direct limits, giving rise to

$$SH^*_+(X) := \varinjlim_{\lambda} HF^*_+(X, H^\lambda) \tag{2.17}$$

It is not difficult to see that there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^*(X) \to SH^*(X) \to SH^*_+(X) \to H^{*+1}(X) \to \cdots \tag{2.18}$$

**2.2. Algebraic structures.** Among its many other TQFT structures, symplectic cohomology is a *BV-algebra*; in particular it has a *pair of pants product* and a *BV operator* which we now define.

Recall that a *negative cylindrical end*, resp. a *positive cylindrical end* near a puncture $x$ of a Riemann surface $\Sigma$ consist of a holomorphic map

$$\epsilon_- : (-\infty, 0] \times S^1 \to \Sigma \tag{2.19}$$

resp. a holomorphic map

$$\epsilon_+ : [0, \infty) \times S^1 \to \Sigma \tag{2.20}$$

asymptotic to $x$. Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface equipped with suitable cylindrical ends $\epsilon_i$. To each cylindrical end associate a time dependent Hamiltonian $H_i$. Let $K \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, C^\infty(X))$ be a 1-form on $\Sigma$ which, along the cylindrical ends, satisfies:

$$\epsilon_i^*(K) = H_i dt$$

whenever $|s|$ is large. To such a $K$, we may associate a Hamiltonian one form $X_K \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, C^\infty(TX))$ which is characterized by the property that for any tangent vector at a point $z \in \Sigma$, $\vec{r}_z$, we have that $X_K(\vec{r}_z)$ is the Hamiltonian vector-field of $K(\vec{r}_z)$. The most general form of Floer's equation that we will be studying in this paper is:

$$\begin{cases} u \colon \Sigma \to X, \\ (du - X_K)^{0,1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

To define the pair of pants product, let $\Sigma$ be the pair of pants, viewed as a sphere minus three points. Labeling the punctures of $\Sigma$ by $x_1$, $x_2$ and $x_0$, we equip $\Sigma$ with positive cylindrical ends around $x_1$ and $x_2$ and a negative end around $x_0$.

We fix the following additional data on $\Sigma$:

- a (surface-dependent) family of *admissible* $J$, meaning $J$ should be of *contact type*. Further, when restricted to cylindrical ends $J$ should depend only on $t$.
- a closed 1-form $\beta$, which when restricted to the the negative cylindrical end equals $2dt$ and when restricted to the positive cylindrical ends equals $dt$.
- A perturbation one-form $K$ restricting to $H^\lambda dt$ on the positive ends and $H^{2\lambda}dt$ such that outside of a compact set on $X$, $X_K = X_{H^\lambda} \otimes \beta$.

To define the pair of pants product,

$$(- \cdot -) : HF^*(H^\lambda) \otimes HF^*(H^\lambda) \to HF^*(H^{2\lambda}) \tag{2.22}$$

we count solutions to Equation (2.21) such that

$$\begin{cases} u \colon \Sigma \to X, \\ \lim\limits_{s \to -\infty} u(s, -) = x_0 \\ \lim\limits_{s \to \infty} u(s, -) = x_1 \\ \lim\limits_{s \to \infty} u(s, -) = x_2 \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

We will need to consider certain parameterized operations on symplectic cohomology as well. In the simplest case, consider the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. We will consider operations parameterized by a value $r \in S^1$. Set

$$H_t^{(r)}(x) = H(t - r, x), \quad J_{t,x}^{(r)} = J_{t-r}$$

Choose a pair $(J_{s,t,r}, H_{s,t,r})$ such that along the cylindrical ends

$$\begin{cases} (J_{s,t,r}, H_{s,t,r}) = (J^{(r)}, H^{(r)}) \\ (J_{s,t,r}, H_{s,t,r}) = (J, H). \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

We will denote the twisted Hamiltonian orbits of $H_t^{(r)}(x)$ by $x^{(r)}$. Note that they are bijection with those of $H_t(x)$. The same applies to Floer trajectories and bijection as a result we get a canonical isomorphism between the Floer complexes of $H_t^{(r)}(x)$ and $H_t(x)$. For later use, we denote the moduli space of such solutions by $\mathcal{M}_r(x_0, x_1)$. For generic $(J_{s,t,r}, H_{s,t,r})$, the BV operator defines an operation

$$\Delta : HF^*(X, H^\lambda) \to HF^{*-1}(X, H^\lambda)$$

by counting solutions to the parameterized Floer equation:

$$\begin{cases} r \in S^1, \\ u \colon \Sigma \to X, \\ \lim\limits_{s \to -\infty} u(s, -) = x_0^{(r)} \\ \lim\limits_{s \to \infty} u(s, -) = x_1 \\ \partial_s u + J_{s,t,r}(\partial_t u - X_{H_{s,t,r}}) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

Furthermore this operation is compatible with the continuation maps $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$. The BV operator and the product together give the structure of a unital *BV-algebra*.

The final general property of symplectic cohomology that we need concerns its functoriality. Namely, let $j : W \subset \bar{X}$ be a sub-Liouville domain. We have a Viterbo functoriality map

$$j^! : SH^*(X) \to SH^*(W) \tag{2.26}$$

which respects the BV structures on both sides:

LEMMA 2.3. *The Viterbo functoriality map $j^!$ is a morphism of unital BV algebras (and in particular preserves the BV operator and the product).*

**2.3. A convenient model of symplectic cohomology.** There is an alternative definition of symplectic cohomology (roughly following the treatment in [**CFO**]) which will be more convenient for our work. In this variant, we consider Hamiltonians $H_c^\lambda$ which are constant on the symplectization region. Fix a $\lambda > 0$ which is not in the spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(\partial\bar{X}, \theta)$. Let $\eta_\lambda$ denote the distance from $\lambda$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(\partial\bar{X}, \theta)$ (which is strictly positive for generic $\theta$), and let $T_0$ denote the minimum period of a Reeb orbit in $\partial\bar{X}$. Fix suitably small constants $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 < \delta \cdot \lambda < \epsilon < \eta_\lambda/2 \tag{2.27}$$

Then we let $H_c^\lambda$ be a smoothing of the function

$$H_c^\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & R \leq \delta \\ \lambda(R-\delta) & R \in [\delta, 1] \\ \lambda(1-\delta) & R \geq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

There are four types of orbits:

(1) constant orbits when $R \leq \delta$, denoted by $\mathcal{X}_1 := \mathcal{X}_1(H_c^\lambda)$
(2) non-constant orbits when $R = \delta$, denoted by $\mathcal{X}_2 := \mathcal{X}_2(H_c^\lambda)$
(3) non-constant orbits when $R = 1$, $\mathcal{X}_3 := \mathcal{X}_3(H_c^\lambda)$
(4) constant orbits when $R \geq 1$, $\mathcal{X}_4 := \mathcal{X}_4(H_c^\lambda)$

See Figure 1.

LEMMA 2.4. *The actions of these orbits are approximately as follows:*

(1) *for $x \in \mathcal{X}_1(H_c^\lambda)$ then $A_{H_c^\lambda}(x) = 0$*
(2) *for $x \in \mathcal{X}_2(H_c^\lambda)$, $A_{H_c^\lambda}(x) \in [-\delta(\lambda - \eta_\lambda), -\delta T_0]$*
(3) *$x \in \mathcal{X}_3(H_c^\lambda)$, $A_{H_c^\lambda}(x) \in [-\lambda + \eta_\lambda, -T_0] + (1-\delta)\lambda$*
(4) *$x(t) \in \mathcal{X}_4(H_c^\lambda)$ $A_{H_c^\lambda}(x) = (1-\delta)\lambda$*

PROOF. In the region where $H = h(R)$, $\theta(X_H) = Rh'(R)$, and hence the action of an orbit $x$ is given by $-Rh'(R) + h(R)$. This immediately implies the action computations for orbits in classes 1 or 4. For any time 1 chord occurring in the type 2 region, on any smoothing sufficiently close to (2.28), $h(R) \approx 0$ and $-Rh'(R)$ varies approximately between $-\delta \cdot \lambda$ and 0. Since $X_H = h'(R) \cdot X_{Reeb}$, where $X_{Reeb}$ is the Reeb vector field, time 1 orbits of $H$ occur at values of $R$ for which $h'(R)$ is in the spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(\partial\bar{X}, \theta)$. In particular, for $\lambda, \eta_\lambda, T_0$ as above, if $x$ an orbit at level $R$, $h'(R)$ does not lie in $[\lambda - \eta_\lambda, \lambda]$ or $[0, T_0]$. It follows that for $x \in \mathcal{X}_2(H_c^\lambda)$, $A_{H_c^\lambda}(x) \in [-\delta(\lambda - \eta_\lambda), -\delta T_0]$ as desired. The case of type 3 orbits is similar. □

FIGURE 1. A graph of the Hamiltonian $H_c^\lambda$ as a function of $R$, with regions where orbits may occur circled and labeled.




It is convenient to add a small perturbation as a function of $R$ (say by some monotone increasing function $h(R)$ supported when $R \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{R\to\infty} h(R) = 0$ and $\lim_{R\to\infty} h'(R) = 0$), so that we may eliminate the orbits of $\mathcal{X}_4(H_c^\lambda)$.

The orbits of type $\mathcal{X}_1(H_c^\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{X}_2(H_c^\lambda)$ have action $\leq 0 < \epsilon - \delta\lambda$, whereas the orbits of $\mathcal{X}_3(H_c^\lambda)$ all have action $\geq \eta_\lambda - \delta\lambda$. The Floer differential increases the action, so for any $A$, the orbits of action $\geq A$ form a subcomplex, which we denote by

$$CF^*(X, H_c^\lambda)_{[A,\infty)}$$

In particular, we may quotient the Floer complex by orbits of action $\geq \epsilon - \delta\lambda$ to obtain a chain complex

$$CF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^\lambda) := \frac{CF^*(X, H_c^\lambda)}{CF^*(X, H_c^\lambda)_{[\epsilon-\delta\lambda,\infty)}} \tag{2.29}$$

which is generated by orbits of $\mathcal{X}_1(H_c^\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{X}_2(H_c^\lambda)$. Denote the cohomology of this complex by

$$HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^\lambda) := H^*(CF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^\lambda)). \tag{2.30}$$

Let $K_\lambda$ denote a function which is a smoothing of

$$K_\lambda = \begin{cases} \lambda(R-\delta) & R \geq \delta \\ 0 & R \leq \delta \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

See Figure 2

LEMMA 2.5. *There is a canonical isomorphism of chain complexes*

$$CF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^\lambda) \cong CF^*(X, K_\lambda).$$

FIGURE 2. A graph of the Hamiltonian $K_\lambda$ as a function of $R$, with regions where orbits may occur circled (but unlabeled).




PROOF. First, we note that the generators of the two chain complexes are in bijection by construction (or at least, can be chosen to be in bijection, by making identical choices of smoothings for $H_c^\lambda$ and $K_\lambda$ when $R \leq \delta$).

It is a consequence of the integrated maximum principle (see Proposition 2.11) that Floer trajectories connecting the two orbits of $H_c^\lambda$ in $\mathcal{X}_1(H_c^\lambda) \cup \mathcal{X}_2(H_c^\lambda)$ must lie in the region where $R \leq \delta$. Namely, fix some $\epsilon_R$ slightly larger than $\delta$. Then for any Floer trajectory $u$ connecting orbits of $\mathcal{X}_1(H_c^\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{X}_2(H_c^\lambda)$ we will apply Proposition 2.11 to $\bar{\Sigma} = u^{-1}([\epsilon_R, \infty))$, the piece of this Floer trajectory which lives above a slice $R = \epsilon_R$. Notice that near $R = \epsilon_R$, we have

$$\theta(X_{H_c^\lambda} \otimes dt) = (H_c^\lambda + \lambda\delta)dt \tag{2.32}$$

verifying (2.45) in this case. All other properties are similarly verified and Proposition 2.11 implies that all curves lie in the region where $R < \epsilon_R$. The same holds for Floer trajectories for the Hamiltonian $K_\lambda$.

In the region $R \leq \delta$, by construction the Hamiltonians $H_c^\lambda$ and $K_\lambda$ are (or can be chosen to be) equal on the nose, as are the almost complex structures in each Floer complex. In particular, any trajectory of $H_c^\lambda$ in this region of $X$ is a trajectory of $K_\lambda$ and vice versa. Thus with respect to the canonical identification of the generators of the chain complexes, the differentials are also canonically identified. □

Orbits in $\mathcal{X}_1(H_c^\lambda)$ generate a subcomplex $CF_-^*(X, H_c^\lambda) \subset CF^{*,\natural}(X, H_c^\lambda)$ and we may form

$$CF_+^*(X, H_c^\lambda) := \frac{CF^{*,\natural}(X, H_c^\lambda)}{CF_-^*(X, H_c^\lambda)} \tag{2.33}$$

The isomorphism from Lemma 2.5 identifies $CF^*_-(X, H_c^\lambda) \cong CF^*_-(X, K_\lambda)$ and hence gives rise to an isomorphism

$$CF^*_+(X, H_c^\lambda) \cong CF^*_+(X, K_\lambda) \tag{2.34}$$

Next we discuss continuation maps between Hamiltonians $H_c^{\lambda_1}$ and $H_c^{\lambda_2}$ with $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1$. For simplicity we pass to a cofinal family of Hamiltonians by choosing for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ a $\lambda_m \geq 0$ not in $\mathrm{Spec}(\partial \bar{X}, \theta)$ such that $\lambda_{m+1} > \lambda_m$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty} \lambda_m \to \infty$. Whenever $m_2 \geq m_1$, set $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{m_1}$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda_{m_2}$. We may assume

$$\delta_1 \geq \delta_2$$

$$\delta_1\lambda_1 \geq \delta_2\lambda_2$$

$$\delta_1\lambda_1 - \epsilon_1 \leq \delta_2\lambda_2 - \epsilon_2$$

Choose a monotone homotopy $K = H_s dt$ between these two functions where $\partial_s H_s < 0$. Then the continuation map is defined and preserves the action filtrations. Then we obtain a mapping:

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} : HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^{\lambda_1}) \to HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^{\lambda_2})$$

Let

$$\lambda(s) : \mathbb{R} \to [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$$

be a function with $\lambda'(s) \leq 0$. Let

$$f(s) : \mathbb{R} \to [\lambda_2\delta_2, \lambda_1\delta_1]$$

be function satisfying $f'(s) \geq 0$. Assume furthermore that for $R$ in a neighborhood of some $\epsilon_R$ with $1 > \epsilon_R > \delta_1$, this homotopy $H_s$ takes the form

$$H_s := \lambda(s)R - f(s) \tag{2.35}$$

Lemma 2.6. *Symplectic cohomology may be computed as a direct limit:*

$$SH^*(X) \cong \varinjlim_{\lambda} HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^\lambda) \tag{2.36}$$

Proof. We may apply a similar calculation to Lemma 2.5 to show that all continuation solutions remain in the region where $R < \epsilon_R$. This time we have that (2.32) generalizes to the statement that

$$\theta(X_{H_s} \otimes dt) = (H_s + f(s))dt \tag{2.37}$$

This gives an identification between continuation solutions given by $H_s$ and those given by the corresponding family $K_s$ which interpolates between $K_{\lambda_1}$ and $K_{\lambda_2}$. As the corresponding family of $K_\lambda$ is cofinal, this completes the proof of the theorem. □

We similarly have

$$SH^*_+(X) \cong \varinjlim_{\lambda} HF^*_+(X, H_c^\lambda) \tag{2.38}$$

Using perturbation datum of the form $K = H_c^\lambda \otimes \beta$ as we did in (2.22), we may again define a product

$$HF^*(X, H_c^\lambda) \otimes HF^*(X, H_c^\lambda) \to HF^*(X, 2H_c^\lambda)$$

We may choose our family so that given $m_1$ as above, we may choose $m_2$ so that $\lambda_{m_2} \geq 2\lambda_{m_1}$. This enables us to choose a monotone homotopy $H_s$ between $2H_c^{\lambda_1}$ and $H_c^{\lambda_2}$

which near $R = \epsilon_R$, we again assume takes the form (2.35). Gluing these two operations together we obtain a pair of pants product

$$HF^*(X, H_c^{\lambda_1}) \otimes HF^*(X, H_c^{\lambda_1}) \to HF^*(X, H_c^{\lambda_2})$$

LEMMA 2.7. *The following two claims hold*

- *The above pair of pants product descends to*

$$HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^{\lambda_1}) \otimes HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^{\lambda_1}) \to HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^{\lambda_2})$$

- *Moreover, we have that the pair of pants product on $HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^{\lambda})$ agrees with that on $HF^*(X, K_\lambda)$.*

PROOF. We need to show that given a pair of pants with inputs $x_1$,$x_2$ and outputs $x_0$, that if one of the inputs lies in $\mathcal{X}_3(H_c^{\lambda})$ then the output $x_0$ must also be of $\mathcal{X}_3(H_c^{2\lambda})$. Suppose without a loss of generality that $x_1$ is of $\mathcal{X}_3(H_c^{\lambda})$ and a positive input while $x_2$ and $x_0$ are both of $\mathcal{X}_1(H_c^{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{X}_1(H_c^{2\lambda})$. Because the perturbation datum is the gluing of the standard monotonic perturbation datum of the form $H_c^{\lambda_1} \otimes \beta$ and the continuation datum as in Lemma 2.6, all of the axioms needed to apply Proposition 2.11 have been verified except for (2.46). To verify this, notice that we have exactly one positive input,$x_1$, above $R > \epsilon_R$. Restricted to the end $\epsilon_1$, we have that the one form $\beta_c = \lambda_1 \delta_1 dt$ and hence $b_1^+ = \lambda_1 \delta_1$. Notice that

$$-\mathcal{A}_{H_c^{\lambda_1}}(x_1) + b_1^+ \leq -\eta_1 + 2\lambda_1 \delta_1$$

This quantity is less than zero in view of (2.27) and so it follows that (2.46) holds. We may therefore apply Proposition 2.11 to conclude that such a Floer curve cannot exist. The other case where both inputs are of type $\mathcal{X}_3(H_c^{\lambda})$ is similar. The proof of the second claim also follows by the same arguments. □

LEMMA 2.8. *The BV operator and Lie bracket operations defined on $\varinjlim_\lambda HF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^{\lambda})$ coincides with those on $SH^*(X)$.*

PROOF. The proof is similar to Lemma 2.7 and we omit it. □

**2.4. The integrated maximum principle.** Below, we prove a slight variant of [**AS**, Lemma 7.2] (or its minor generalization in [**A1**, §B]). This result provides geometric control over the locations of Floer trajectories in Liouville manifold based on their asymptotics, and are a key input into compactness Theorems.

Let $\bar{X}$ be a Liouville domain as before and let $1 > \epsilon_R > 0$ be a positive number. Let $Y \subset X$ be the region where $R > \epsilon_R$ and $W$ be the codimension zero subdomain defined by $X \setminus Y$. Suppose $\bar{\Sigma}$ is a Riemann surface with boundary $\partial^n \Sigma$ and positive and negative punctures $\{y_i^+\}, \{y_j^-\}$. Let $K$ be a perturbation datum $K \in \Omega^1(\bar{\Sigma}, C^\infty(X))$.

DEFINITION 2.9. *The curvature $\Omega_K$ of a perturbation datum $K$ is the exterior derivative of $K$ in the $\Sigma$ direction.*

DEFINITION 2.10. *We say that a perturbation datum $K$ is* monotonic *if*

$$\Omega_K \leq 0. \tag{2.39}$$

Let us assume that our perturbation datum $K$ is monotonic. Choose a surface-dependent family complex structures $J_z$ which in a neighborhood of $\partial W$ are of contact type, i.e. satisfy

(2.6). Let $u : \bar{\Sigma} \to Y$ be a map satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u(\partial^n \Sigma) \subset \partial W \\ \lim_{p \to y_i^{\pm}} u = x_i^{\pm} \\ (du - X_K)^{0,1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.40}$$

where $x_i^{\pm} : S^1 \to Y$ are a collection of Hamiltonian orbits for the Hamiltonians $H_i$. Associated to such a solution of Floer's equation are two types of energies, the *topological energy*

$$E_{\text{top}}(u) = \int_{\bar{\Sigma}} u^* \omega - d(u^* K) \tag{2.41}$$

and the *geometric energy*

$$E_{\text{geo}}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{\Sigma}} ||du - X_K|| = E_{\text{top}}(u) + \int_{\bar{\Sigma}} \Omega_K \tag{2.42}$$

Note that whenever $K$ is monotonic, we have an inequality

$$E_{\text{geo}}(u) \leq E_{\text{top}}(u). \tag{2.43}$$

In particular, if $E_{\text{top}}(u) \leq 0$, then $du = X_K$ everywhere.

Assume that in a neighborhood of $R = \epsilon_R$,

$$dR(X_K) = 0 \in \Omega^1(\bar{\Sigma}, C^\infty(X)) \tag{2.44}$$

$$\theta(X_K) = K + \beta_c \in \Omega^1(\bar{\Sigma}, C^\infty(X)) \tag{2.45}$$

where $\beta_c \in \Omega^1(\bar{\Sigma})$ is super-closed and restricts to $b_i^{\pm} dt$ along the cylindrical ends $\epsilon_i^{\pm}$.

Proposition 2.11 (No-escape Lemma or *integrated maximum principle*, c.f., [**AS**, **A1**]). *Let $\bar{\Sigma}$ be a punctured Riemann surface with boundary and $K$ a monotonic perturbation datum satisfying (2.44), (2.45). Suppose that $u$ is a solution to (2.40) and that*

$$\sum_{\epsilon_i^-} (\mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^-) - b_i^-) - \sum_{\epsilon_i^+} (\mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^+) - b_i^+) \leq 0. \tag{2.46}$$

*Then $u(\bar{\Sigma}) \subset \partial W$ and $du - X_K = 0$.*

PROOF. We compute for such $u$ that

$$E_{\text{top}}(u) = \int_{\bar{\Sigma}} u^*\omega - d(u^*K) \tag{2.47}$$

$$= -\sum_{\epsilon_i^+} \mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^+) + \sum_{\epsilon_i^-} \mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^-) + \int_{\partial^n\Sigma} (u^*\theta - u^*K) \tag{2.48}$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon_i^+} \mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^+) + \sum_{\epsilon_i^-} \mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^-) + \int_{\partial^n\Sigma} (u^*\theta - \theta(X_K)) - \int_{\partial^n\Sigma} \beta_c \tag{2.49}$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon_i^+} \mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^+) + \sum_{\epsilon_i^-} \mathcal{A}_{H_i}(x_i^-) + \int_{\partial^n\Sigma} u^*\theta - \theta(X_K) - \int_{\bar{\Sigma}} (d\beta_c) + \sum_{\epsilon_i^+} b_i^+ - \sum_{\epsilon_i^+} b_i^+ \tag{2.50}$$

$$\leq \int_{\partial^n\Sigma} u^*\theta - \theta(X_K) \tag{2.51}$$

$$= \int_{\partial^n\Sigma} \theta \circ (du - X_K) \tag{2.52}$$

$$= -\int_{\partial^n\Sigma} \theta \circ J \circ (du - X_K) \circ j \tag{2.53}$$

$$= -\int_{\partial^n\Sigma} dR \circ du \circ j, \tag{2.54}$$

where we have applied (2.45) (in line (2.49)), (2.40) (in line (2.53)) and the contact type condition (2.6) and (2.44) (in line (2.54)).

Finally, letting $\hat{n}$ denote the outward normal along $\partial^n\Sigma$, observe that $\partial^n\Sigma$ is oriented by the vector $j\hat{n}$. Now we calculate that $-dR(du)j(j\hat{n}) = -dR(du)(-\hat{n}) \leq 0$, which implies that the final integral, hence $E_{top}(u)$, is non-positive. This implies by monotonicity of $K$ that $E_{\text{geo}}(u) \leq 0$, so $du = X_K$ everywhere and in particular, $R$ must be constant on $u(\bar{\Sigma})$. □

## 3. Complements of normal crossings divisors

### 3.1. Nice symplectic structures.

DEFINITION 3.1. *A* log-smooth compactification *of a smooth complex $n$-dimensional affine variety $X$ is a pair $(M, \mathbf{D})$ with $M$ a smooth, projective $n$-dimensional variety and $\mathbf{D} \subset M$ a divisor satisfying*

$$X = M \backslash \mathbf{D}; \tag{3.1}$$

*The divisor $\mathbf{D}$ is normal crossings in the strict sense, e.g.,* (3.2)

$\mathbf{D} := D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_i \cup \cdots \cup D_k$ *where $D_i$ are smooth components of $\mathbf{D}$; and*

*There is an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $M$ together with a section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{L})$ whose divisor of zeroes is $\sum_i \kappa_i D_i$ with $\kappa_i > 0$.* (3.3)

For all pairs $(M, \mathbf{D})$ in this paper, we will assume that the canonical bundle is supported on $\mathbf{D}$

$$\omega_M \cong \mathcal{O}(\sum_i -a_i D_i), \tag{3.4}$$

and choose a holomorphic volume form $\Omega_{M,\mathbf{D}}$ on $M$ which is non-vanishing on $X$ and has poles of order $a_i$ along $D_i$ as in (3.4).

Given a subset $I \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ define

$$D_I := \cap_{i\in I} D_i; \tag{3.5}$$

we refer to the associated open parts of the stratification induced by $\mathbf{D}$ as

$$\mathring{D}_I = D_I \backslash \cup_{j\notin I} D_j \tag{3.6}$$

By convention, we set $D_\emptyset := M$ and $\mathring{D}_\emptyset = X$. Denote by $\mathfrak{i} : X \hookrightarrow M$ the natural inclusion map.

Definition 3.2. *Let $X$ be a symplectic manifold equipped with a one-form $\theta_X$ such that $\omega_X = d\theta_X$. Let $Y$ denote the $\omega_X$-dual of $\theta_X$. We say that $(X, \theta_X)$ is a* finite-type convex symplectic manifold *if there exists an exhausting function $f_X : X \to [0, \infty)$ together with a $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ such that $df_X(Y) > 0$ over all of $f_X^{-1}[c_0, \infty)$.*

See [**M2**, §A] for a comprehensive survey of these structures including the notion of deformation of these structures that we will use. Any affine variety has a canonical (up to deformation equivalence) structure of a finite-type convex (exact) symplectic manifold constructed as follows:

Example 3.1 (Stein symplectic structure). Pick a holomorphic embedding $i : X \to \mathbb{C}^N$ and equip $X$ with

- the one-form $\theta = i^*(\sum_k \frac{r_k^2}{2} d\theta_k)$ (in terms of polar coordinates $(r_k, \theta_k)$ on $\mathbb{C}$); and
- the exhausting function $f_X = i^*(\sum_k |z_k|^2)$.

Up to deformation equivalence, the resulting convex symplectic structure is independent of choice of $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$.

There is a different construction of a (deformation equivalent) convex symplectic structure which is more natural from the point of view of normal crossings compactifications.

Example 3.2 (Logarithmic symplectic structure). Let If $(M, \mathbf{D})$ be a log-smooth compactification of $X$ as above, and $s \in H^0(\mathcal{L})$ a section of a line bundle cutting out $\mathbf{D}$ as in Definition 3.1, we can equip $X$ with

- the one-form $\theta = d^c \log |s|$.
- the exhausting function is given by $f_X = -\log |s|$,

where $|\cdot|$ is any choice of positive Hermitian metric on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ (once more, the result is independent up to deformation equivalence of $|\cdot|$).

The deformation equivalence of these two structures is proven in [**S1**]. Here we recall a further deformation of the convex symplectic structure on $X$, due to McLean [**M2**] (see [**S1**] for the case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 2$), with "nice" properties at infinity with respect to a given compactification $(M, \mathbf{D})$. To begin, after a deformation we assume that the smooth components of $\mathbf{D}$ intersect orthogonally in $M$:

Theorem 3.3 ([**M2**, Lemma 5.4, 5.15]). *There exists a deformation of the divisors $\mathbf{D}$ such that they intersect orthogonally with respect to the symplectic structure on $M$. This does not change the symplectomorphism type of the complement $X = M\backslash\mathbf{D}$, or the deformation class of its convex symplectic structure.*

Definition 3.4 ([M2, Lemma 5.14]). *A convex symplectic structure $(W, \theta)$ constructed from a log-smooth compactification $(M, \mathbf{D})$ is* nice *if there exist tubular neighborhoods $U_i$ of $D_i$ with symplectic projection maps*

$$\pi_i : U_i \to D_i$$

*such that on a $|I|$-fold intersection of tubular neighborhoods*

$$U_I := \cap_{i\in I} U_i = U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_{|I|}},$$

*iterated projection $|I|$ times*

$$\pi_{i_1} \circ \pi_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{i_{|I|}} : U_I \to D_I$$

*is a symplectic fibration with structure group $U(1)^{|I|}$ and with fibers symplectomorphic to a product of discs $\prod_{i\in I} \mathbf{D}_\epsilon$ of some radius $\epsilon$ with symplectic form*

$$\sum_{i\in I} (r_i^2 - \kappa_i) d\varphi_i,$$

*where $(r_i, \varphi_i)$ are standard polar coordinates for $\mathbf{D}_\epsilon$. Moreover, each $\pi_i$ for $i \in I$ is fiber-preserving, sending*

$$\prod_{j\in I} \mathbf{D}_\epsilon \to \prod_{j\in I | j\neq i} \mathbf{D}_\epsilon.$$

Observe that the coordinates $r_i$ induce smooth functions $r_i^2 : U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ on the neighborhoods $U_i$. On the intersections $U_I$, these functions give rise to commuting Hamiltonian $S^1$ actions. Denote by $UD$ the union

$$UD := \cup_i U_i$$

Definition 3.5. *Define*

$$S_I$$

*to be the unit $T^{|I|}$ bundle around each $D_I$, e.g. the set of points in $U_I$ where $r_i = \delta$ for some small $\delta < \epsilon$ and $i \in I$. We will use the notation $S_i := S_{\{i\}}$ for the one dimensional torus bundle over $D_i$. Let*

$$\mathring{S}_I$$

*denote the restriction of the $T^{|I|}$ bundle to $\mathring{D}_I$.*

Let $J$ denote a subset of $\{1, \cdots, n\} \setminus I$. There is a codimension $|J|$ stratum of $S_l$ corresponding to the restricted torus bundle $S_I|_{D_{I\cup J}}$. Near these strata, we have radial coordinates $r_j$. We let $UD_{I,j}$ denote set of points in $S_I$ where $r_j \leq \epsilon$. We let $\overline{S}_I$ denote the natural closure of the open manifold $S_I \setminus \{\cup_{j\notin I} UD_{I,j}\}$. This is a manifold with corners whose boundary is the region

$$\partial \overline{S}_I = \cup_j (\{r_j = \epsilon \setminus \cup_{k\notin j} r_k < \epsilon\}).$$

We adopt the convention that $S_\emptyset = M$ and

$$\bar{S}_\emptyset := \overline{M \setminus UD}$$

Let $N_I$ denote the normal bundles to the stratum $D_I$ and $\mathring{N}_I$ denote the restriction of these bundles to $\mathring{D}_I$. These bundles have been equipped with canonical $U(1)^{|I|}$ structures and there is a canonical identifications of the associated torus bundles with $S_I$ and $\mathring{S}_I$ respectively.

THEOREM 3.6 ([**M2**, Lemma 5.20]). *There exists an almost-Weinstein structure* $(W, \tilde{\theta})$ *deformation equivalent to* $(X, \theta)$ *which is nice.*

Henceforth, we replace $(X, \theta)$ by the corresponding nice structure. In this setting, there is a nice Liouville domain $\bar{X}$, whose boundary $\partial\bar{X}$ is a smoothing of the hypersurface with corners, $\partial\overline{S}_{\emptyset}$. More precisely, let $q : [0, \epsilon^2) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that:

- There is some $\epsilon_q \in (\epsilon - 1/2\epsilon^3, \epsilon)$ such that $q(s) = 0$ iff $s \in [\epsilon_q^2, \epsilon^2)$
- The derivative of $q(s)$ is strictly negative when $q(s) \neq 0$.
- $q(s) = 1 - s^2$ near $s = 0$.
- There is a unique point $s$ with $q''(s) = 0$ and $q(s) \neq 0$.

Define $S(x) : UD \to \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$S(x) = \sum_i q(r_i^2) \tag{3.7}$$

We let $\partial\bar{X} = S^{-1}(\delta)$ for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. For $\delta$ sufficiently small, the Liouville vector field associated to $\theta$ is strictly outward pointing along $\partial\bar{X}$ (see [**M3**, Theorem 5.16]).

DEFINITION 3.7. *Define* $\bar{X}$ *to be the region bounded by this* $\partial\bar{X}$*.*

**3.2. Logarithmic cohomology.** We now define the *logarithmic cohomology group* of $(M, \mathbf{D} = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_k)$

$$H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D}) \tag{3.8}$$

which will play a key role in this paper. Recall the notation from the previous section $D_I$, $\mathring{D}_I$, $S_I$, $\mathring{S}_I$ for the stratified components of $\mathbf{D}$, their open parts, and their unit torus normal bundles. To start, let $C^{BM}_*(\mathring{S}_I, \mathbf{k})$ denote the chain-complex of smooth Borel-Moore chains of $\mathring{S}_I$ with $\mathbf{k}$-coefficients. The manifolds $\mathring{S}_I$ have canonical orientations and we will pass freely between cohomology classes $\alpha \in H^*(\mathring{S}_I)$ and the corresponding Poincare dual Borel-Moore homology classes. We will use multi-index notation, meaning we fix variables $t_1, \ldots, t_k$, and for a vector $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_{\geq 0}$, denote

$$t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} := t_1^{v_1} \cdots t_k^{v_k}.$$

Consider the cochain complex $C^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ generated by elements of the form $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$, where $\alpha_c$ is a (co)-chain in $C^{BM}_{2n-*}(\mathring{S}_I, \mathbf{k})$ for some subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = (v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ is a vector of non-negative integer multiplicities *strictly supported* on $I$, meaning $v_i > 0$ if and only if $i \in I$.

The differential on $C^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ is induced from the differential on $C^{BM}_*(\mathring{S}_I, \mathbf{k})$.

DEFINITION 3.8. *We will denote by*

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I$$

*the vector* $(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ *strictly supported on* $I$ *with entries,*

$$v_i := \begin{cases} 1 & i \in I \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*In the case that* $I$ *consists of a single element* $\{i\}$*, we will use the notation* $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_i$*. We refer to the vectors* $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I$ *as primitive vectors.*

We can give an efficient description of $C^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ as follows:

$$C^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D}) := \bigoplus_{I \subset \{1,\dots,k\}} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I} C^{BM}_{2n-*}(\mathring{S}_I, \mathbf{k})[t_i \mid i \in I] \tag{3.9}$$

where $S_\emptyset = X$, and $S_I = \emptyset$ if the intersection $\cap_{i \in I} D_i$ is empty. We set

$$H^*_{log}(M, D) := H^*(C^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})) \tag{3.10}$$

The cohomology $H^*_{log}(M, D)$ is generated by classes of the form $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$. The *logarithmic cohomology* of $(M, \mathbf{D})$ of *slope* $< \lambda$, denoted $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})_{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor}$, is the sub $\mathbf{k}$-module generated by those elements of the form $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ for some subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$, such that

$$\sum_i v_i \kappa_i < \lambda$$

(recall the definition of $\kappa_i$ in (3.3)).

If $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, we get an inclusion:

$$i_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} : H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})_{\lfloor \lambda_1 \rfloor} \to H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})_{\lfloor \lambda_2 \rfloor}$$

The volume form $\Omega_{M,\mathbf{D}}$ from (3.4) gives rise to a cohomological $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ via the following rule:

$$|\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}| = |\alpha| + 2 \sum_i (1 - a_i) v_i. \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 3.9. *For a general pair $(M, \mathbf{D})$, an elaboration of standard Morse-Bott arguments allow one to produce a spectral sequence*

$$H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D}) \Rightarrow SH^*(X) \tag{3.12}$$

*from logarithmic cohomology converging to symplectic cohomology* [**GP**, **M1**]. *It is likely that the differential on the higher pages can be described in terms of certain relative or log Gromov-Witten invariants. Often (for instance in the examples in Remark 3.23), one can use elementary considerations involving homotopy classes of generators or indices to conclude that (3.12) degenerates. However, this method does not enable one to compute the ring structure on $SH^*(X)$, whereas the Log PSS morphism does* [**GP**].

Definition 3.10. *Given a class $A \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, we will denote by $A \cdot \mathbf{D}$ the multivector $[A \cdot D_i] \in \mathbb{Z}^k$.*

We finish this section by defining a BV type operator on logarithmic cohomology. Notice that for any stratum $D_I$, there is a natural $T^{|I|}$ action on $\mathring{S}_I$. Any $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ which is strictly supported on $I$ gives rise to a natural homomorphism $\phi_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} : S^1 \to T^{|I|}$ defined by $\phi_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i \vec{\mathbf{v}} \theta}$. The homomorphism $\phi_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ in turn induces an action

$$\Gamma_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} : S^1 \times \mathring{S}_I \to \mathring{S}_I$$

such that $\Gamma_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ is proper. So we may define the pushforward on BM homology

$$\Gamma_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}, *} : H^{BM}_*(S^1 \times \mathring{S}_I) \to H^{BM}_{*+1}(\mathring{S}_I)$$

Definition 3.11. *Denote the natural fundamental class on $S^1$ by $\epsilon^\vee$. Given a class $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} \in H^*_{log}(M, D)$ then define*

$$\Delta(\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) = \Gamma_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}, *}(\epsilon^\vee \otimes \alpha) t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} \tag{3.13}$$

**3.3. Admissible classes and topological pairs.** The setup we choose here is by no means the most general possible, but is the simplest setup which avoids technical issues like multiply-covered curves and gluing curves with non-constant components in the divisor $\mathbf{D}$ while still allowing for non-trivial applications.

DEFINITION 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{J}(M,\mathbf{D})$ be the Banach manifold of compatible almost-complex structures which preserve $\mathbf{D}$ and which are $C^\epsilon$ over some fixed almost-complex structure. Similarly, we let $\mathcal{J}(D_I,\cup_{j\notin I}D_j)$ be the space of compatible complex structures on $D_I$ which preserve all divisors $D_j$ for $j\notin I$ (as usual taking $I=\emptyset$ gives back $\mathcal{J}(M,\mathbf{D})$).*

All complex structures in this paper will be of class $\mathcal{J}(M,\mathbf{D})$.

DEFINITION 3.13. *Given a Riemann surface $C$ and a marked point $p\in C$, we define the projectivized tangent space*

$$S_pC := (T_pC\setminus\{0\})/\mathbb{R}^+.$$

We let $(C,z_0,z_1,\cdots,z_n)$ denote the Riemann sphere with $n+1$ fixed marked points. Each marked point $z_i$ will be enhanced with a choice of element $\vec{r}_{z_i}\in S_{z_i}C$. For this paper, the most important cases will be $n=1$, when we may take we may take $z_0=\{0\}$ and $z_1=\{\infty\}$ and $n=2$, when we may take $z_0=\{0\},z_1=\{1\},z_2=\{\infty\}$. In the case $n=1$ or $n=2$, we may assume the elements $\vec{r}_{z_i}$ correspond to the positive real direction. These additional trivializations will be used to place jet conditions on holomorphic maps as we now explain.

DEFINITION 3.14. *We say that a $J$-holomorphic map $u:C\to M$ is $D_I$-regular if $u(C)\not\subseteq D_i$ for $i\in I$.*

Let $u:C\to M$ be a $D_I$-regular $J$-holomorphic map such that for some $p\in C$, $u(p)\in \mathring{D}_I$. Fix a local complex coordinate $z$ around $p$, and a collection of local normal complex coordinates $y_i$ to each $D_i$ at $u(p)$.

By [**IP1**, Lemma 3.4] for each $i\in I$, the normal component $u_i$ of the map $u$ has an expansion

$$f_i(z)=a_iz^{v_i}+O(|z|^{v_i+1}) \tag{3.14}$$

where $v_i$, the *multiplicity* is a positive integer and the leading coefficient $a_i\in\mathbb{C}^*$. As explained in [**IP2**, §6], [**CM**, §6] the coefficient $a_i$ is the $v_i$-jet of the component of $u$ normal to $D_i$ at $p$ modulo higher order terms and so intrinsically

$$a_i\in (T_p^*C)^{v_i}\otimes (N_i)_{u(p)}$$

In our case, $C=\mathbb{C}P^1$ and fixing a non-zero unit vector (or real positive ray) in $T_p(C)$, we can think of $a_i\in (N_i)_{u(p)}\backslash\{0\}$; define $[a_i]\in (N_i)_{u(p)}/\mathbb{R}^+$ to be the real projectivization. Then, the direct sum $[\oplus_{i\in I}a_i]\in S_{I,u(p)}$, lives in a fiber of the torus bundle $S_I$ to $D_I$. Thus, if $u$ satisfies an incidence condition as in 3.14, we can define the *enhanced evaluation* of $u$ at $z_0$ (following [**I**], [**CM**]), taking values in $S_I$ as:

$$\mathrm{Ev}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}_{z_0}(u) := (u(z_0),[\oplus_{i\in I}a_i]) \tag{3.15}$$

DEFINITION 3.15. *For any $A\in H_2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying*

$$A\cdot\mathbf{D}=\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I \tag{3.16}$$

*set* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I,A)$ *to be the moduli space of maps* $u:(C,z_0,z_1)\to(M,\mathbf{D})$ *with*

$$u_*([\mathbb{C}P^1])=A \tag{3.17}$$

$$u^{-1}(D_I)=z_0 \tag{3.18}$$

Definition 3.16. *From the moduli spaces in Definition 3.15 form:*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I):=\bigsqcup_{A,A\cdot\mathbf{D}=\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I,A) \tag{3.19}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I):=\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)/\mathbb{R} \tag{3.20}$$

*where the quotient in the latter equation is by* $\mathbb{R}$*-translations.*

We have an evaluation map at $z_1=\infty$ which sends $u$ to $u(\infty)$:

$$ev_\infty:\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)\to M$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o$ denote the preimage $ev_\infty^{-1}(X)$. We will frequently use the following topological assumption to simplify compactness and transversality arguments. Denote the classes $A\in H_2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\omega(A)>0$ by $H_2(M)_\omega$.

**Assumption** (A1): All $A\in H_2(M)_\omega$ satisfying (3.16) are indecomposable.

Lemma 3.17. *Assume that Assumption (A1) holds. Then the moduli space* $\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o$ *is a smooth oriented manifold for generic* $J\in\mathcal{J}(M,D)$*. Moreover the map*

$$ev_\infty:\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o\to X$$

*is proper.*

Proof. There is no possible sphere bubbling because the classes $A$ are indecomposable. As the evaluation $ev_\infty$ lies in $X$, it follows that our curve $u$ is $D_I$ regular. It therefore follows from Gromov compactness that the map $ev_\infty$ is proper. The fact that the moduli space is smooth for generic $J$ is a standard Sard-Smale argument. The orientation comes from the usual orientation on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)$ arising from the fact that the Fredholm operator $D_u$ is homotopic to the operator $\bar\partial$ together with the fact that the $\mathbb{R}$ translations preserve this orientation. □

If $\mathrm{Ev}_0^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}(u)$ is contained in $S_I\setminus\mathring{S}_I$, then because $u$ has intersection number zero with all of the divisors $D_j$ for $j\notin I$ then $u$ must be completely contained in $\mathbf{D}$ and hence $ev_\infty(u)\in\mathbf{D}$ as well. Therefore we have an evaluation

$$\mathrm{Ev}_0^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}:\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o\to\mathring{S}_I$$

For any class $\alpha$ in $H^*(\mathring{S}_I)$, we may define a BM homology class in $H_*^{BM}(X)$ via

$$GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha)=[ev_{\infty,*}(\mathrm{Ev}_0^{\vec{\mathbf{v}},*}(\alpha))]\in H_*^{BM}(X). \tag{3.21}$$

For $J\in\mathcal{J}(M,\mathbf{D})$ and $A\in H_2(M,\mathbb{Z})$, let $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}(M,A,J)$ denote the moduli space of $J$-holomorphic spheres

$$u:\mathbb{C}P^1\to M$$

such that $u_*([\mathbb{C}P^1])=A$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(M,A,J)$ denote its natural Gromov compactification.

Definition 3.18. *We say that a class* $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}\in H^*_{log}(M,\mathbf{D})$ *is* tautologically admissible *if for every* $A\neq 0\in H_2(M)$*, either*

- $A\cdot D_i>v_i$ *for some* $i\in\{1,\cdots,k\}$.

- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(M,A,J)=\emptyset$ *for generic* $J\in\mathcal{J}(M,\mathbf{D})$.

DEFINITION 3.19. *We say that* $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}\in H^*_{log}(M,D)$ *is* admissible *if either*

- $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}\in H^*_{log}(M,D)$ *is tautologically admissible*
- *or* $\vec{\mathbf{v}}=\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I$ *is primitive, (A1) holds and for any* $A\in H_2(M)_\omega$ *such that* $A\cdot\mathbf{D}\neq\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I$, *there exists an* $i\in\{1,\cdots,k\}$ *such that* $A\cdot D_i>v_i$.

We will similarly refer to (tautologically) admissible cocycles $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}\in C^*_{log}(M,D)$ which are cocycles which represent (tautologically) admissible classes. We define $H^*_{log}(M,\mathbf{D})^{ad}\subset H^*_{log}(M,\mathbf{D})$ to be the $\mathbf{k}$-module generated by admissible classes.

DEFINITION 3.20. *For any primitive admissible class* $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}\in H^*_{log}(M,D)$, *we refer to the class defined in Equation* (3.21) *as the obstruction class associated to* $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$. *We extend this to non-primitive admissible classes by setting* $GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}(\alpha)=0$.

LEMMA 3.21. *For any stratum* $D_I$, *let* $\alpha=1\in H^0(\mathring{S}_I)$ *and suppose that* $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ *is admissible. Then* $GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha)=0$.

PROOF. In this case there are no constraints along $\mathring{S}_I$ so by definition our invariant is simply the pushforward

$$ev_{\infty,*}[\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o]$$

The evaluation $ev_{\infty,*}$ map factors through the quotient $[\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o/S^1]$ and it follows that

$$ev_{\infty,*}[\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M,\mathbf{D},\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o]=0$$

□

DEFINITION 3.22. *A pair* $(M,\mathbf{D})$ *is* topological *if every class* $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ *is tautologically admissible.*

REMARK 3.23. *There are many examples of topological pairs. For instance,* $(M,\mathbf{D})$ *is a topological pair whenever each smooth component* $D_i$ *of* $\mathbf{D}$ *is ample and the number of components of* $\mathbf{D}$, $k$, *satisfies* $k\geq\dim_{\mathbb{C}}M+1$ *(compare* [S] *where a slightly more restrictive hypothesis, that all* $D_i$ *be powers of the same ample divisor, and* $k\geq\dim_{\mathbb{C}}M+1$, *is assumed similarly to simplify holomorphic curve theory).*

*Another general class of topological pairs* $(M,\mathbf{D})$ *with* $\mathbf{D}=D$ *a smooth divisor can be constructed as follows: Let* $M$ *is a hypersurface of degree at least* $2n+1$ *in* $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $n\geq 2$ *and let* $D$ *be any smooth hyperplane section. The topological pair condition holds since for any* $A\in H_2(M,\mathbb{Z})_\omega$, *the virtual dimension of the moduli space of* $J$*-holomorphic spheres in homology class* $A$ *is negative (and the same applies to curves that lie entirely in* $D$*). As any curve factors through a somewhere injective curve, the moduli spaces are generically empty.*

## 4. The Log PSS morphism

**4.1. Symplectic cohomology from Hamiltonians on the compactification.** Assume now that we are in the setting $X=M\backslash\mathbf{D}$ of $X$ is presented as the complement of a normal crossings divisor in a projective variety with its inherited Liouville structure, as described in §3, with fixed choice of holomorphic volume form $\Omega_{M,\mathbf{D}}$ as in (3.4). For technical purposes, we now recast the construction of $SH^*(X)$ in terms of the geometry of $(M,\mathbf{D})$. Concretely we show that Floer cohomology of the Hamiltonians $H^\lambda_c$ on $X$ can be

computed by counting Floer cylinders in $M$ (with asymptotics in $X$ outside $\mathbf{D}$) which have zero intersection number with $\mathbf{D}$.

We note first that the flow of the Liouville vector field extends to some suitable neighborhood of $\bar{X}$, giving an embedding of

$$(0, 1+\delta_R] \times \partial X \to M$$

For $\epsilon' \ll \epsilon$, let $V$ denote the neighborhood of $\mathbf{D}$ which is the union of all of the $UD_{i,\epsilon'}$ where $r_i \leq \epsilon'$. By choosing $\epsilon', \delta_R$ sufficiently small, we may assume that $V \cap ((0, 1+\delta_R] \times \partial X) = \emptyset$. Let

$$H^\lambda_m : S^1 \times M \to \mathbb{R}$$

be a function satisfying:

(4.1) In $V$, $H^\lambda_m = \tau S(x) + \lambda(1-\delta) + g(x)$ where $S$ is as in (3.7), $0 < \tau \ll 1$, and $g(x)$ is a $C^2$ small perturbing Morse function.

(4.2) The Hamiltonian flow $\phi_{H^\lambda_m}$ preserves $D_I$ for all $I$.

(4.3) On the region $(0, 1+\delta_R] \times \partial X$, $H^\lambda_m = H^\lambda_c + h(R)$ with $h(R) = 0$ for $R \leq 1 - \delta_R$.

We assume that $\delta_R$ is chosen small so that $h(R)$ is supported far away from the region $\{R = \delta\}$. Concerning the orbits of the flow of $X_{H^\lambda_m}$, we impose that:

(4.4) Time-one orbits are either contained in some $D_i \subset \mathbf{D}$ or in $\mathcal{X}_j(H^\lambda_c)$, $j \in \{1,2,3\}$;

(4.5) All time-one orbits in a component $D_i$ of $\mathbf{D}$ lie in $\mathrm{crit}(H^\lambda_m)$; and

(4.6) All time-one orbits are non-degenerate.

Define the chain complex $CF^*(X \subset M; H^\lambda_m)$ to be

$$CF^*(X \subset M, H^\lambda_m) := \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{1,2}(H^\lambda_m)} |\mathfrak{o}_x|_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{4.7}$$

where $|\mathfrak{o}_x|$ is the $\mathbf{k}$-normalization of the orientation line $\mathfrak{o}_x$ as in (2.5).

Definition 4.1. *Fix a number $\epsilon_R$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.5. Denote by $\mathcal{J}_c(M, \mathbf{D})$ those complex structures $\mathcal{J}_c(M, \mathbf{D}) \subset \mathcal{J}(M, \mathbf{D})$ which is are of contact type in neighborhoods of $R = \epsilon_R$ and $R = 1$ respectively.*

The differential on (4.7) is defined by counting solutions to (2.7) *in $M$* (instead of $X$ with respect to a generic time-dependent $J_t$, where for each $t \in S^1$, $J_t \in \mathcal{J}_c(M, \mathbf{D})$, which additionally satisfy the topological constraint

$$u \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

In view of the following key *positivity of intersection property*, the condition (4.8) actually implies $u \cap \mathbf{D} = \emptyset$:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $H := H_{s,t}$ be any Hamiltonian preserving $\mathbf{D}$, and $J := J_{s,t}$ an almost complex structure (both possibly cylinder dependent) for which $\mathbf{D}$ is a $J$-holomorphic divisor (with normal crossings). Then, for any Floer trajectory $u$ of $(H, J)$ with asymptotics outside $\mathbf{D}$, $u \cdot \mathbf{D} = \sum_{z \in u \cap D} (u \cdot \mathbf{D})_z$, where each local intersection multiplicity $(u \cdot \mathbf{D})_z$ is $\geq 1$.*

The above Lemma is a consequence of positivity of intersection of $J$-holomorphic curves $u$ with $J$-holomorphic divisors $\mathbf{D}$, along with *Gromov's trick*, which allows us to import results from the analysis of $J$-holomorphic curves to the case of Floer curves:

PROPOSITION 4.3 (Gromov's trick). *Let $(\Sigma, j)$ be a Riemann surface equipped with a one form $\gamma$, surface dependent Hamiltonian $H_\Sigma$ and almost complex structure $J_\Sigma$. Then, $u : \Sigma \to M$ solves Floer's equation $(du - X \otimes \gamma)^{0,1} = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{u} = (id, u) : \Sigma \to \Sigma \times M$ is a $\tilde{J}$-holomorphic section with respect to the almost complex structure on $\Sigma \times M$*

$$\tilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ (X \otimes \gamma)^{0,1} & j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.9}$$

□

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.2. If $J$ and $H$ preserve $\mathbf{D}$, then the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$ appearing in Proposition 4.3 preserves the divisor $\Sigma \times \mathbf{D} \subset \Sigma \times M$. Hence, we can apply positivity of intersection for ordinary $J$-holomorphic curves. □

Applying Lemma 4.2, we see that Floer curves in $M$ which are counted in the definition of $CF^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda)$ actually lie in $X$. Then Lemma 2.5 implies that such curves must in fact stay away from an open neighborhood of $\mathbf{D}$. Hence, the compactness Theorem (Lemma 2.2) transfers over to this setup. In fact, more is true:

PROPOSITION 4.4. *There is a canonical isomorphism of chain complexes*

$$CF^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda) \cong CF^{*,\sharp}(X; H_c^\lambda). \tag{4.10}$$

PROOF. The generators for each complex are identical by construction. Furthermore in the region of $X$ where Floer curves for $CF^*(X \subset M, H_m^\lambda)$ and $CF^{*,\sharp}(X, H_c^\lambda)$ are each forced to stay by Lemma 2.5 (and also Lemma 4.2 for the former complex) $(H_m^\lambda, J_m)$ is exactly equal to $(H_c^\lambda, J_c)$. Thus, the Floer trajectories are in bijection too. □

As usual, we may consider a subcomplex of $CF^*(X \subset M, H_m^\lambda)$ generated by orbits in $\mathcal{X}_1(H_m^\lambda)$, which we denote by $CF_-^*(X \subset M, H_m^\lambda)$ as well as the quotient complex $CF_+^*(X \subset M, H_m^\lambda)$. The bijection from equation (4.10) gives rise to an identification:

$$CF_+^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda) \cong CF_+^*(X; H_c^\lambda) \tag{4.11}$$

REMARK 4.5. *To orient the reader with the several closely related Floer complexes that we have introduced, it may be helpful to note that the complex $CF^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda)$ is neither a subcomplex nor a quotient complex of the Floer chain complex of $M$, $CF^*(M; H_m^\lambda)$ because we have avoided counting Floer trajectories which intersect $\mathbf{D}$ to define our differential. In general $CF^*(M; H_m^\lambda)$ is only defined (potentially using virtual techniques) over a suitable choice of Novikov ring.*

**4.2. The classical PSS morphism.** Next, recall the classical PSS map [**PSS**]. Consider the domain

$$S = \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\},$$

thought of as a punctured sphere, with a distinguished marked point $z_0 = \infty$ and a negative strip-like end (2.19) near $z = 0$ which for concreteness we take to be given by

$$(s, t) \to e^{s+it}$$

The coordinates $(s, t)$ extend to all of $S \setminus z_0$. Fix a subclosed one-form $\beta$ which restricts to $dt$ on the cylindrical end and which restricts to zero in a neighborhood of $z_0$. To be explicit, we consider a non-negative, monotone non-increasing cutoff function $\rho(s)$ such that

$$\rho(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & s \gg 0 \\ 1 & s \ll 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

and let

$$\beta = \rho(s)dt. \tag{4.13}$$

Near $z_0$, we also fix a distinguished tangent vector which points in the positive real direction.

Given a pair $(M, \mathbf{D})$, we will first need to consider a special case of the PSS moduli space associated to pairs built out of strata $(D_I, \cup_{j \notin I} D_j \cap D_I)$:

DEFINITION 4.6. *Fix a surface dependent $J_S$, such that for every $s \in S$, $J_s \in \mathcal{J}(D_I, \cup_{j \notin I} D_j)$. Assume further that $J_s$ is surface independent in a neighborhood of $z_0$ and $J_S = J_t$ along the cylindrical end. For any orbit $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}(H_m^\lambda)$, we define $\mathcal{M}_{D_I}(x_0)$ as the space of solutions to*

$$u : S \to D_I$$

*satisfying*

$$(du - X_{H_m^\lambda} \otimes \beta)^{0,1} = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

*with asymptotic condition*

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} u(\epsilon(s,t)) = x_0. \tag{4.15}$$

For each $\alpha \in H_*^{BM}(X)$, fix a chain level representative $\alpha_c \in C_*^{BM}(X)$. For any such representative $\alpha_c \in C_*^{BM}(X)$, and orbit $x_0$ in $\mathcal{X}_{1,2}(H_m^\lambda)$, choose a generic surface dependent almost-complex structure $J_S$ as above such that for each $s \in S$, $J_s \in \mathcal{J}_c(M, \mathbf{D})$. Consider those $u \in \mathcal{M}_M(x_0)$ such that $u(S) \subset X$ and denote this moduli space by $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}_M(x_0)$. Next form

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha_c, x_0) := \mathring{\mathcal{M}}_M(x_0) \times_{ev_{z_0}} \alpha_c \tag{4.16}$$

For generic choices, this is a manifold of dimension $|x_0| - |\alpha|$ provided that $|x_0| - |\alpha| \leq 1$. A standard orientation analysis shows that whenever $|x_0| - |\alpha| = 0$, (rigid) elements $u \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_c, x_0)$ induce isomorphisms of orientation lines

$$\mu_u : \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{o}_{x_0}. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus, we can define

$$\mathrm{PSS}(\alpha_c) = \sum_{x_0, |x_0| - |\alpha| = 0} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_c, x_0)} \mu_u. \tag{4.18}$$

It is a classical fact that this count gives rise to a well-defined map:

$$\mathrm{PSS} : H^*(X) \to HF^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda) \tag{4.19}$$

In fact, we have a factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(X) & & \\ \vdots & \searrow^{PSS} & \\ HF_-^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda) & \longrightarrow & HF^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda) \end{array}$$

**4.3. Adding multiplicity and jet constraints near $\infty$.** As before let $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ be a vector strictly supported on a subset $I$ with $D_I$ is a non-empty stratum.

DEFINITION 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{J}_S(V)$ denote the subspace of surface dependent complex structures in $\mathcal{J}_c(M,\mathbf{D})$ such that*

- *$J_S$ is independent of $z \in S$ in $V$.*
- *Along the cylindrical ends, the complex structure only depends on the* time coordinate *e.g. $J_S = J_t$.*

The map $\mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_0,\lambda}$ is an enhanced version of the classical PSS morphism, involving curves passing through cycles not necessarily in $X$ but at $\infty$ ($\mathbf{D}_i$), with various incidence and multiplicity conditions.

It will be technically convenient to distinguish the variant map

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset} : H_{2n-*}(\bar{X}, \partial\bar{X}) \to HF^*_-(X \subset M; H^\lambda_m), \tag{4.20}$$

which is defined by representing elements of $H_{2n-*}(\bar{X},\partial\bar{X})$ by relative psuedocycles [**K**] $P$ such that $\partial P \subset \partial\bar{X}$ and counting elements of $\mathcal{M}(P, x_0)$ for varying $x_0$ as in (4.18). On homology this map agrees with the classical PSS map (4.19) defined using singular co-chains under the isomorphism $H^*(X) \cong H_{2n-*}(\bar{X},\partial\bar{X})$. Furthermore, given a generic relative null-bordism $Z_b$ for a pseudo-manifold $P$, we have that

$$\partial_{CF} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(Z_b) = \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(P) \tag{4.21}$$

One of the main objectives of the present paper is to describe a version of the map (4.19) which takes into account tangency conditions along the divisor $\mathbf{D}$. The following moduli space therefore plays a central role in this paper.

DEFINITION 4.8. *Fix $J \in \mathcal{J}_S(V)$. For every orbit $x_0$ of $H^\lambda_m$ contributing to $CF^*(X \subset M; H^\lambda_m)$ and $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ as above, define a moduli space*

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0) \tag{4.22}$$

*as follows: consider the space of maps*

$$u : S \to M$$

*satisfying Floer's equation*

$$(du - X_{H^\lambda_m} \otimes \beta)^{0,1} = 0 \tag{4.23}$$

*with asymptotics and tangency/intersection conditions*

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty} u(\epsilon(s,t)) = x_0 \tag{4.24}$$

$$u(z) \notin \mathbf{D} \text{ for } z \neq z_0; \tag{4.25}$$

$$u(z_0) \text{ intersects } D_i \text{ with multiplicity } v_i \text{ for } i \in I. \tag{4.26}$$

In the setting of (4.25), as in §3.3, specifically (3.15), the real-projectivized $v_i$ normal jets of the map $u$ (with respect to a fixed real tangent ray in $T_{z_0}C$) give an *enhanced evaluation map*

$$\mathrm{Ev}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}_{z_0} : \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0) \to \mathring{S}_I. \tag{4.27}$$

Let $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} \in C^*_{log}(M, D)$ be a cocycle representing a class $\alpha t^{\mathbf{v}} \in H^*_{log}(M, D)$.

DEFINITION 4.9. *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$ is defined to be the moduli space*

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0) \times_{\mathrm{Ev}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}_{z_0}} \alpha_c, \tag{4.28}$$

LEMMA 4.10. *The virtual dimension of $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{vdim}(\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)) = |x_0| - |\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}|, \tag{4.29}$$

*where $|\alpha t^{\vec{v}}|$ is the grading on log cohomology induced by the holomorphic volume form $\Omega_{M,\mathbf{D}}$ in (3.11) (and similarly $|x_0|$ is the grading on orbits induced by $\Omega_{M,\mathbf{D}}$).*

PROOF. This is standard: one notes that at a point $u \in \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$, the tangent space to $\mathcal{M}(\vec{v}, \alpha_c, x_0)$ is the kernel of the linearized operator $\tilde{D}'_u$ corresponding to Floer's equation intersected with the linearization of the constraints (4.26), (4.28). Hence, the dimension of the tangent space is the index of $\tilde{D}'_u$ (which is $|x_0| + 2c_1(u) = |x_0| - \sum_i 2a_i v_i$), minus the codimension of the constraints imposed ($|\alpha| + \sum_i 2v_i$). □

For a generic choice of almost complex structure and when $\mathrm{vdim}(\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)) \leq 1$, the moduli space is a manifold of the expected dimension by standard arguments which we defer to Lemmas 4.14 and 4.17. We next show that the PSS moduli space has a suitable compactification provided that the value of the Hamiltonian $H^\lambda_m$ is sufficiently big along the symplectization region. We start with some preparatory lemmas:

LEMMA 4.11. *Given a solution $u \in \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$, we have that*

$$\int_S u^*\omega = \sum_i v_i \kappa_i + \int_{x_0(t)} x^*\theta \tag{4.30}$$

*Similarly, let $R = c$ denote some slice such that $R|_{x_0(t)} < c$. Let $\bar{S} = u^{-1}([c, \infty))$. Then*

$$\int_{\bar{S}} u^*\omega = \sum_i v_i \kappa_i + \int_{\partial \bar{S}} u^*\theta. \tag{4.31}$$

PROOF. We prove the first statement, the proof of the second being identical. The intersection of u with $\mathbf{D}$ is an isolated point, so we can removed a small ball $B(z_0)$ around that point and as the size of the ball goes to zero, we have that

$$\int_S u^*\omega = \int_{x_0(t)} x^*\theta - \int_{\partial B(z_0)} (-\kappa_i d\phi_i) \tag{4.32}$$

$$= \sum_i v_i \kappa_i + \int_{x_0(t)} x^*\theta \tag{4.33}$$

□

In the following argument, we shift the Hamiltonian down by $\lambda(1-\delta)$ i.e. we consider

$$\bar{H} = H^\lambda_m - \lambda(1-\delta) \tag{4.34}$$

LEMMA 4.12. *For any $x_0 \in \mathrm{crit}(H^\lambda_m)|\mathbf{D}$ and any fixed $\hbar > 0$, we may take $|\bar{H}|_{C^1}$ sufficiently small on $M \setminus \bar{X}$ so that any broken solution $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{D_I}(x_0)$ completely contained in $D_I$, satisfies*

$$\int_S u^*\omega \geq -\hbar. \tag{4.35}$$

PROOF. We may begin with the case when $I \neq \{\emptyset\}$. Notice that the Hamiltonian $\bar{H}$ is $C^2$-small along $D_I$, so we may write the energy of a solution

$$E(u) = \int_S u^*\omega - u^* d(\bar{H}\beta) + \int \bar{H} d\beta \tag{4.36}$$

The result follows from the fact that we can arrange that

$$|\int \bar{H} d\beta| \leq \sup_{D_I} |\bar{H}| \cdot |\int d\beta| \leq \hbar/2 \tag{4.37}$$

$$|\int_S u^* d(\bar{H}\beta)| = \bar{H}(x_0) \leq \hbar/2 \tag{4.38}$$

We now turn to proving the same statement on the main stratum, which is slightly more delicate. Let $R$ be the Liouville coordinate on $\bar{X}$ and we consider some level $R = 1 + \eta$, for $\eta$ sufficiently small. Along this slice, recall that we have that

$$\bar{H} = H_\lambda - \lambda(1 - \delta) = h(R) \tag{4.39}$$

In this case, we proceed by contradiction, through studying the energy of the Floer solution, $\bar{S} = u^{-1}([1 + \eta, \infty))$. Assume that we have chosen our data such that

$$|\int \bar{H} d\beta| \leq \sup_{R \geq 1+\eta} |\bar{H}| \cdot |\int d\beta| \leq \hbar/2 \tag{4.40}$$

and $\sup_{R=1+\eta} h'(R)R - h(R) \leq \hbar/2$. As $u$ is asymptotic to a constant orbit, the map $u$ may be compactified continuously by filling in a point. We let $u \cdot D_i$ denote the intersection of this compactification with $D_i$. Now assume that the solution satisfies

$$\sum_i \kappa_i (u \cdot D_i) + \hbar \leq 0 \tag{4.41}$$

The energy $E(\bar{S})$ of this piece of the curve for the symplectic form $\omega$ can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\bar{S}) &= \int_{\bar{S}} u^*\omega - u^* d\bar{H} \wedge \beta \\
&\leq \int_{\bar{S}} u^*\omega - u^* d(\bar{H}\beta) + \hbar/2 \\
&\leq \sum_i \kappa_i (u \cdot D_i) + \hbar/2 + \int_{\partial \bar{S}} u^*\theta - (h(R)\beta) \\
&= \sum_i \kappa_i (u \cdot D_i) + \hbar/2 + \int_{\partial \bar{S}} u^*\theta - \theta(X)\beta + \int_{\partial \bar{S}} (h'(R)R - h(R))\beta \\
&\leq \int_{\partial \bar{S}} u^*\theta - \theta(X)\beta \\
&= -\int_{\partial \bar{S}} dR \circ du_2 \circ j
\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

□

To state our key compactness result, note that given a primitive admissible class and a generic complex structure, restricting the fiber product

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o \times_{\mathrm{Ev}_0} \alpha_c \tag{4.43}$$

to $\bar{X}$ defines a relative pseudocycle $\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha_c)}$ representing $GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha)$ (we suppress the choice of complex structure from our notation, though they are of course necessary for chain level constructions).

LEMMA 4.13. *Suppose that $\sum_i v_i\kappa_i - \lambda(1-\delta) < 0$ and $|\bar{H}|_{C^1}$ is taken sufficiently small on $M \setminus \bar{X}$. Let $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ be an admissible cocycle and $x_0$ be a Hamiltonian orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\{1,2\}}(H_c^\lambda)$ such that $|x_0| - |\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}| = 1$. Then, for generic $J_S \in \mathcal{J}_S(V)$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$ admits a compactification (in the sense of Gromov-Floer convergence) $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$, such that:*

- *If $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ is not primitive,*

$$\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0) = \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)_F := \bigsqcup_{x, |x_0|-|x'|=1} \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x') \times \mathcal{M}(x_0, x')$$

- *If $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_I$,*

$$\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0) = \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)_F \bigsqcup \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)_S$$

*where*

$$\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)_S := \underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha_c)} \times_{ev} \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset, x_0)$$

PROOF. The space $M$ is compact and so the result is a consequence of standard Gromov-Floer compactness provided we can rule out cylinder breaking along orbits of type $\mathcal{X}_3(H_m^\lambda)$ or those contained in $D_I$. We proceed by contradiction and assume that $y$ is the first orbit of either $\mathcal{X}_3(H_m^\lambda)$ or $\mathrm{crit}(H_m^\lambda) \cap \mathbf{D}$ which arises as an asymptote of such a degeneration. More precisely, suppose $y$ is in $\mathcal{X}_3(H_m^\lambda)$, and that the curve limits to a broken curve $(u_1, u_2)$ with $u_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, y)$ and $u_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, y)$. Then because the intersection number with each of the divisors is conserved under the degeneration, we have that $u_2$ satisfies $u_2 \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$. We have that the argument of Lemma 2.5 proves this moduli space is empty since $A_{H_m^\lambda}(y) > 0$.

The other possibility is that $y \in \mathrm{crit}(H_m^\lambda | D_J)$. Then have that the limiting $u$ breaks into two curves $(u_1, u_2)$ where $u_1$ is either $u_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{D_K}(y)$ for some stratum $D_K$ or possibly an element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_M(y)$ and $u_2$ is a non-trivial Floer trajectory in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, y)$. In either case, we have that after choosing $\tau, g(x)$ sufficiently small, we have that

$$\int_S u_1^* \omega \geq -\hbar \tag{4.44}$$

We now show that $u_2$ cannot exist by energy considerations. Namely, let $R$ be the Liouville coordinate on $\bar{X}$ and we consider $R = \epsilon_R$ as before. Along this slice, recall that we have that

$$H = \lambda(R - \delta) \tag{4.45}$$

Consider the piece of this Floer trajectory, which lives above $R = \epsilon_R$. Applying Lemma 4.12, we have that the energy of $\bar{S} = u^{-1}([\epsilon_R, \infty))$ of this piece of the curve for the symplectic

form $\omega$ can be estimated by

$$E(\bar{S}) \leq \sum_i v_i\kappa_i + \hbar - \lambda(1-\delta) + \int_{\partial\bar{S}} u^*\theta - (H\beta) \tag{4.46}$$

$$= \sum_i v_i\kappa_i + \hbar - \lambda(1-\delta) + \int_{\partial\bar{S}} u^*\theta - \theta(X)\beta + \int_{\partial\bar{S}} \lambda\delta\beta \tag{4.47}$$

$$\leq \int_{\partial\bar{S}} u^*\theta - \theta(X)\beta \tag{4.48}$$

And the rest proceeds as we have seen previously. As a result we have that the moduli space is compact. □

For any admissible cocycle $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$, we define $\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) \in CF^*(X \subset M; H^{\lambda}_m)$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) = \sum_{x_0, \mathrm{vdim}(\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}},\alpha_c,x_0))=0} \sum_{u\in\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}},\alpha_c,x_0)} \mu_u \tag{4.49}$$

where once more, for a rigid element $u \in \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$, $\mu_u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{o}_{x_0}$ is the isomorphism induced on orientation lines (and by abuse of notation, their $\mathbf{k}$-normalizations) by the gluing theory. We let $\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda,+}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})$ be the image:

$$\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda,+}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) = \overline{\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})} \in CF^*_+(X \subset M; H^{\lambda}_m) \tag{4.50}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.13 that $\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda,+}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})$ defines a cocycle:

$$\partial_{CF_+}(\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda,+}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})) = 0 \tag{4.51}$$

**4.4. Transversality.** Fix a multiplicity vector $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = (v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ corresponding to our collection of divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_k$. The purpose of this section is to prove that we can achieve transversality for $\mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}},\lambda}$ within the class of complex structures $\mathcal{J}_S(V)$. We view $\mathcal{J}_S(V)$ as a subspace of surface dependent complex structures in $\mathcal{J}(M, \mathbf{D})$.

Let $m$ be an integer bigger than $|\vec{\mathbf{v}}| = \sup_i v_i + 1$, and define

$$\mathcal{B}^{m,p}_{S,x} \tag{4.52}$$

to be the Banach space of maps $u : S \to M$ which are locally in $W^{m,p}$ and which converge to some orbit $x$ in the $W^{m,p}$ sense with respect to the fixed cylindrical end. When $S$ and $x$ are implicit we will just use the notation $\mathcal{B}^{m,p}$. Over (4.52) there is a Banach bundle

$$\mathcal{E}^{m-1,p}_{S,x} \tag{4.53}$$

whose fiber over $f : S \to M$ consists of the space of maps

$$\mathcal{E}_f := W^{m-1,p}(S, \Omega^{0,1}_S \otimes f^*TM), \tag{4.54}$$

where the right hand space is taken to mean sections $S \to \Omega^{0,1}_S \otimes f^*TM$ which are $W^{m-1,p}$ with respect to the metric induced by fixed trivialization of the bundle near $\infty$ induced by $x$ and the fixed cylindrical end (again, $S$ and $x$ are implicit)—see for instance [**Sc**]. For any $H$ with time-1 orbit $x$ and any $J$, the Cauchy-Riemann operator

$$\bar{\partial}_{H,J} := (d(-) - X_H \otimes \gamma)^{0,1} \tag{4.55}$$

induces a section $\bar{\partial}_H : \mathcal{B}^{m,p} \to \mathcal{E}^{m-1,p}$ which is Fredholm whenever $x$ is non-degenerate [**F**].

There is an associated *universal moduli space* to our problem, the space of solutions to Floer's equation over $S$ for varying $J$, which have $v_i$ multiplicity intersection with $D_i$ at $z_0$:

$$\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0) =: \{(f,J) \in \mathcal{B}^{m,p} \times \mathcal{J}_S(V), \bar{\partial}_{H,J} f = 0, d^{v_i-1}(f)_{z_0} \in T_{f(z_0)} D_i\} \tag{4.56}$$

(the notation $d^{v_i-1}(f)$ means the $v_i - 1$-*jet of* $f$, in the sense described in [**CM**, §6]). Let $T_J(\mathcal{J}_S(V))$ denote the space of infinitesimal deformations of our (domain-dependent) $J$ which have superexponential decay along the cylindrical end of $S$. The tangent space to $\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0)$ at a point $(f,J)$, using a local chart around $f(z_0)$ in $X$ in which $D_i = \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, so that points $f(z)$ for $z$ near $z_0$ are thought of as living in $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$T_{(f,J)}\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0) = \{(\psi, Y) \in T_f\mathcal{B}^{m,p} \times T_J\mathcal{J}_S(V) | d^{v_i-1}\psi_{z_0} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\} \tag{4.57}$$

The following two key Lemmas are the main results of this section:

Lemma 4.14. *For $m - 2/p > |\vec{\mathbf{v}}|$, the space $\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0)$ is a smooth Banach manifold.*

Lemma 4.15. *For $m - 2/p > |\vec{\mathbf{v}}|$, and $\beta \in H^*(\mathring{S}_I)$, where $I = \mathrm{supp}(\vec{\mathbf{v}})$, the space $\mathcal{M}_u(\beta, \vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0)$ is a smooth Banach manifold.*

The proof of Lemmas 4.14-4.15 follow closely [**CM**, Lemma 6.5-6.6]. Fixing an $\ell \geq 0$ with $\ell < m - 2/p$, we define a subspace of the tangent spaces to $\mathcal{B}^{m,p}$ of tangent vectors with vanishing $\ell$ jet:

$$B_0^{m,p} =: \{\psi \in T_f\mathcal{B}^{m,p}, d^\ell \psi_{z_0} = 0\} \tag{4.58}$$

Similarly, we define a subspace of (the vertical tangent space to) $\mathcal{E}_f^{m-1,p}$ of sections with vanishing $\ell$ jet:

$$E_0^{m-1,p} =: \{\eta \in \mathcal{E}_f^{m-1,p}, d^\ell \eta_{z_0} = 0\} \tag{4.59}$$

The first assertion is that

Lemma 4.16. *The linearized operator*

$$F_0 : B_0^{m,p} \oplus T_J(\mathcal{J}_S(V)) \to E_0^{m-1,p} \tag{4.60}$$

*which sends*

$$(\psi, Y) \to D_u\psi + \frac{1}{2} Y_{z,u(z)} \circ (du_z - X_{u(z)} \otimes \gamma_z) \circ j_z \tag{4.61}$$

*is surjective.*

Assuming for a moment the proof of Lemma 4.16, we may now give the proof of Lemma 4.14.

Proof of Lemma 4.14. Without loss of generality we can assume that the support is $\{1, \cdots |I|\}$ and that the vectors $v_i$ are ordered so that $v_i \leq v_{i+1}$. Let $\vec{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)}$ denote the vector $(v_1, v_2, \cdots v_i, \cdots, v_i, 0, 0 \cdots, 0)$ where the final $v_i$ occurs in position $|I|$. We will prove by induction that the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)}, x_0)$ are smooth Banach manifolds, where analogously to (4.56) these solutions have total intersection $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ with $D_i$ and we require that the intersection multiplicity at $z_0$ is at least $\vec{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)}$, namely,

$$\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)}, x_0) =: \{(f,J) \in \mathcal{B}^{m,p} \times \mathcal{J}_S(V), \bar{\partial}_{H,J} f = 0, d^{\mathbf{v}_k^{(i)}-1}(f)_{z_0} \in T_{f(z_0)} D_k\} \tag{4.62}$$

The base case is completely standard, so assume it is true for $i$. If $v_i = v_{i+1}$ then we are done, so assume $v_{i+1} > v_i$. Let $\vec{v}^{(i,j)}$ denote the vectors $(v_1, v_2, \cdots v_i + j, \cdots, v_i + j, 0, 0 \cdots, 0)$ for $0 \leq j \leq v_{i+1} - v_i$ and define $\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}^{(i,j)}, x_0)$ in the same way as above. The inductive step

is itself proven by induction, this time on $j$. For every point $(f,J) \in \mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}^{(i,j)}, x_0)$ pick a chart near $p = ev_{z_0}$ which we identify with (an open subset of) $\mathbb{C}^n$ so that $p$ corresponds to the origin. Under this identification, we assume that each $D_i$ is identified with the hyperplane given by $z_i = 0$, that the chart is small enough so that the perturbation to the pseudo holomorphic curve equation is zero and that after a linear change of coordinates $J(0) = i$, where $i$ is the standard almost complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. It suffices to show that for every such $(f,J)$ the $v_i + j + 1$- linearized jet map normal to $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{C}^{n-|I|+i}$ is surjective. This is proven exactly as in part b) of Lemma 6.5 of [**CM**]. □

LEMMA 4.17. *Assume that in the notation of Lemma 4.14, $m - 2/p > |\vec{\mathbf{v}}| + 1$. Then the evaluation map*

$$\mathrm{Ev}_{z_0}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} : \mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0) \to \mathring{S}_I \tag{4.63}$$

*is a submersion.*

PROOF. As before, let $(f,J)$ be a point in $\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0)$ and $ev_{z_0} : \mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0) \to \mathring{D}_I$ the ordinary evaluation map. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{\mathrm{Ev}_{z_0}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}} T^{|I|} \to T_{\mathrm{Ev}_{z_0}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}} \mathring{S}_I \to T_{ev_{z_0}} \mathring{D}_I \to 0 \tag{4.64}$$

We first show that $d(ev_{z_0})$ surjects onto $T_{ev_{z_0}} \mathring{D}_I$. To prove this, we let $\bar{U}$ be a sufficiently small open neighborhood of $ev_{z_0}$ in $\mathring{D}_I$. We may consider $U = \bar{U} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon'}^{|I|} \subset V$. We assume that $f^{-1}(U) = U_{z_0}$ is a connected neighborhood of $z_0$ on which the inhomogeneous term in (4.14) vanishes. Let $v$ be a tangent vector to $\mathring{D}_I$ and consider a Hamiltonian $\bar{H} : D_I \to \mathbb{R}$ supported in $\bar{U}$ and for which

$$X_{\bar{H}}(ev_{z_0}) = v. \tag{4.65}$$

We next consider a cutoff function $\eta(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ which is 1 for $x \leq \epsilon'$ and 0 for $x \geq \epsilon/2$. Denote by

$$H = (\prod_j \eta(r_j))\pi_I^*(\bar{H}) \tag{4.66}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{X_H} J$ denote the Lie derivative of $J$ in the direction of $X_H$. The flow of the Hamiltonian vector field preserves all divisors $D_i$. Then by [**MS**, Exercise 3.1.3] together with the invariance of intersection number with the divisors $D_i$ under homotopies, the vector field $(-X_H, \mathcal{L}_{X_H} J)$ is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_u(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, x_0)$ and

$$d(ev(z_0))(-X_H, \mathcal{L}_{X_H} J) = v. \tag{4.67}$$

It therefore suffices to prove surjectivity of the linearized evaluation map to the fibers. But this accomplished in the same way by taking for any $i \in I$ the Hamiltonians $H_i = 1/2(\prod_{j \in I} \eta(r_j)) r_i^2$. Let $\phi_{i,t}$ denote Hamiltonian flow of this Hamiltonian. Then

$$d(\mathrm{Ev}_{z_0}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})(X_{H_i}, \mathcal{L}_{X_{H_i}} J) = d/dt|_{t=0}(\mathrm{Ev}_{z_0}^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}(\phi_{i,t}^{-1}(f), \phi_{i,t}^*(J)) = \partial_{\theta_i} \tag{4.68}$$

where $\partial_{\theta_i}$ is the generator of the circle action in the $i$-th fiber and hence this proves surjectivity onto the fibers. □

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.16. The proof is along the lines of [**FHS**] except as noted in [**CM**] the presence of the $\ell$ jet condition prevents one from using elliptic regularity to reduce to the $W^{1,p}$ case, where one can use the adjoint equation. Instead, as in [**CM**, Lemma 6.6] by working directly with distributions, standard functional analytic properties of $\mathcal{E}_f$ (see

*loc. cit.*) reduce Lemma 4.16 to verifying that $(\operatorname{im} F_0)^\perp \subset (E_0^{m-1,p})^\perp$. Suppose that $\Lambda$ vanishes on $\operatorname{im}(F_0)$. Elliptic regularity for distributions implies that the restriction of $\Lambda$ to $S^* := S \setminus z_0$ is represented by some smooth section $\eta : S^* \to E$. e.g.

$$\Lambda(\phi) = \langle \phi, \eta \rangle_{L^2}.$$

We will show that $\eta$ vanishes on $S^*$. We let $R(f)$ denote the set of points $z$ which are *regular*, meaning that

$$du_z - X_{u(z)} \otimes \gamma_z \neq 0. \tag{4.69}$$

A standard argument (see [**FHS**, Thm. 4.3] or [**AS**, §8.2] for a version adapted to general Floer curves) establishes that regular points are open and dense in an open set $U \subset S$ where $d\gamma|U = 0$. For any $z \in R(f)$ with $f(z) \notin V$, one may use the usual argument of [**FHS**] to produce a $Y$ in $T_J(\mathcal{J}_S(V))$ such that $\langle Y(f) \circ df(z') \circ j, \eta(z')_i \rangle \geq 0$ and $> 0$ at $z$. This implies that in $S^*$, the distribution is zero on a neighborhood of zero and hence on all of $S^*$ by unique continuation.

□

**4.5. (In)dependence of various choices.** We now investigate to what extent the $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda,+}$ map depends on various choices.

Lemma 4.18. *For any admissible $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ we have an equality*

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_2,+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) = \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_1,+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) \tag{4.70}$$

*on the level of cohomology.*

Proof. The proof is by a degeneration of domain (as usual equipped with suitable Floer data) argument. We consider a non-negative, monotone non-increasing, function $f(s)$ such that

- $f(s) = \lambda_1, s \gg 0$
- $f(s) = \lambda_2, s \ll 0$

The one form

$$\beta = f(s)\rho(s)dt$$

is subclosed. Now let $q \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter and consider the one parameter family of subclosed one forms $\beta^q$ such that for large values of $|q|$, we have that

$$\beta^q = \rho(s-q)f(s)dt$$

and count solutions to the perturbed pseudo holomorphic curve equation with input $\alpha_c$ and output $x_0$. Denote the resulting parametrized moduli space (for varying $q \in \mathbb{R}$) by

$$\mathcal{M}_H(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0). \tag{4.71}$$

When $|x_0| - |\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}| = 0$ $\operatorname{vdim}(\mathcal{M}_H(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)) = 1$. The moduli space (4.71) has a compactification whose boundary includes three types of components:

- the limit as $q \to \infty$
- $q \to -\infty$
- broken curves at finitely many $q$ values

The first limit corresponds to the composition $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_1,+}$. The second limit corresponds to taking $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_2,+}$. The third type of boundary component consists of two different strata:

$$\coprod_{y;\ |y|-|\alpha t^{\vec{v}}|=-1} \mathcal{M}_H(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, y) \times \mathcal{M}(x_0, y). \tag{4.72}$$

$$\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}(\alpha_c)} \times_{ev} \mathcal{M}_H(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset, \underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}(\alpha_c)}, x_0) \tag{4.73}$$

Consider the assignment T of degree $-1$ given by counting solutions to (4.71) in the usual fashion, namely

$$\mathrm{T}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) := \sum_{y, |y|-|\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}|=-1} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}_q(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, y)} \mu_u \tag{4.74}$$

where $\mu_u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{o}_y$ is the induced isomorphism on orientation lines given by a gluing analysis. Then, by studying the boundary strata of one-dimensional components of (4.71) and noting that the stratum (4.73) contributes zero in $CF_+^*$, we obtain that T defines a chain homotopy between $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_2,+}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_1,+}$, e.g. we have that

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_2,+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) - \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda_1,+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) = \partial_{CF_+} \circ T(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) \tag{4.75}$$

□

It follows from the lemma that for any such chain, we may obtain an element

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) := \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda,\infty} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda,+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) \in SH_+^*(X) \tag{4.76}$$

which is independent of $\lambda$.

Lemma 4.19. *For any admissible class $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$, and given two cycles $\alpha_c$ and $\alpha_c'$ representing $\alpha$, we have that*

$$[\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda,+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})] = [\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda,+}(\alpha_c' t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})] \in HF_+^*(X \subset M, H_m^\lambda) \tag{4.77}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_0$ be a chain such that $\partial(\alpha_0) = \alpha_c - \alpha_c'$. Then after choosing complex structures generically, consider the moduli space of solutions to (4.22) passing through $\alpha_0$, which we denote by $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_0, x_0)$. When this moduli space has dimension 1, it admits a compactification with boundary (ignoring strata which contribute zero in the quotient complex $CF_+^*$) $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$, $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c', x_0)$ and

$$\bigsqcup_{y, |y|-|\alpha_0 t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}|=0} \mathcal{M}_q(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_0, y) \times \mathcal{M}(x_0, y) \tag{4.78}$$

Thus $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda,+}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})$ and $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda,+}(\alpha_c' t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})$ are cohomologous as claimed. □

The two preceding lemmas imply that our map $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{+}$ is very canonical for all admissible classes. Namely, as a consequence of Lemma 4.19, the PSS construction gives rise to a well-defined map on cohomology

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log}^{\lambda,+} : H_{log}^*(M, \mathbf{D})_{\lfloor \lambda' \rfloor}^{ad} \to HF_+^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda) \tag{4.79}$$

for $\lambda' < \lambda$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.18, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*_{log}(M,\mathbf{D})^{ad}_{\lfloor \lambda_1' \rfloor} & \xrightarrow{i_{\lambda_1',\lambda_2'}} & H^*_{log}(M,\mathbf{D})^{ad}_{\lfloor \lambda_2' \rfloor} \\ \downarrow PSS^{\lambda_1,+} & & \downarrow PSS^{\lambda_2,+} \\ HF^*_+(X \subset M; H^{\lambda_1}_m) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}} & HF^*_+(X \subset M; H^{\lambda_2}_m) \end{array} \tag{4.80}$$

As a consequence, we obtain a map

$$\mathrm{PSS}^+_{log} : H^*_{log}(M,D)^{ad} \to SH^*_+(X) \tag{4.81}$$

A similar argument shows that this map is independent of choices of complex structures.

**4.6. Lifting to symplectic cohomology.** We will need one more choice to define classes in symplectic cohomology:

Definition 4.20. *Given an admissible cocycle $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ corresponding to a primitive vector, we say that a pseudomanifold with boundary $Z_b$ is a* bounding cochain *for $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ if*

$$\partial_{CF} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) - \partial_{CF} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(Z_b) = 0 \tag{4.82}$$

*We extend this definition to non-primitive $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ by setting $Z_b = 0$. We denote such a pair by $(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}, Z_b)$.*

Lemma 4.21. *If $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ is an admissible cocycle with vanishing obstruction class (3.21), then there exists $Z_b$ such that (4.82) holds.*

Proof. Assume first that $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ is not primitive. Given $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$, consider a given $x_0$ such that $\mathrm{vdim}(\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)) = 1$. The boundary of the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$ has one component

$$\bigsqcup_{ind(x_0)=ind(x')+1} \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x') \times \mathcal{M}(x_0, x')/\mathbb{R} \tag{4.83}$$

which represents the coefficient of $\mathfrak{o}_{x_0}$ in the composition $\partial_{CF} \circ \mathrm{PSS}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})$. In the primitive case, choose generic nullbordism $Z_b$ for $\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha_c)}$. There is one extra component to the boundary

$$\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha_c)} \times_{ev} \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset, x_0) \tag{4.84}$$

In this case, we have that

$$\partial_{CF}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) = \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha_c)}) \tag{4.85}$$

In view of (4.21), this can be canceled out by $\partial_{CF} \circ \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(Z_b)$ and thus (4.82) holds. □

For any pair $(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}, Z_b)$, define $\mathrm{PSS}^\lambda_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}, Z_b) \in HF^*(X \subset M; H^\lambda_m)$ via the formula

$$\mathrm{PSS}^\lambda_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}, Z_b) = [\mathrm{PSS}^\lambda_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) - \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(Z_b)] \tag{4.86}$$

For the rest of this subsection, we exclusively consider admissible cocycles $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ for which we may take $Z_b = 0$ for generic $J \in J_c(M,D)$. This applies for all tautologically admissible classes, but also in other situations. For example:

Definition 4.22. *After choosing a triangulation $T$ of $\mathring{S}_I$, we can represent the fundamental class $\alpha$ on $\mathring{S}_I$ by a cycle given by taking the sum of all $2n-|I|$ dimensional simplices, $\alpha_c$. We refer to this as the fundamental cycle associated to $T$.*

LEMMA 4.23. *Fix a triangulation $T$ of $\mathring{S}_I$. If $\alpha = 1 \in H^0(\mathring{S}_I)$, and $\alpha_c$ is a fundamental cycle associated to $T$, then equation (4.82) holds with $Z_b = 0$.*

PROOF. As in the proof of Lemma 3.21, the evaluation $ev_{\infty,*}$ map factors through a lower dimensional chain $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_I)^o/S^1]$. For generic $J$, the PSS moduli space misses this cycles completely, and the contribution

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}(\alpha_c)}) = 0 \tag{4.87}$$

at the chain level. □

In view of Lemma 4.23, we will always set $Z_b = 0$ and will drop it from the notation when working with classes of the form $\alpha_c t^{\mathbf{v}}$, with $\alpha_c$ a fundamental cycle. We now state analogues of Lemmas 4.18, 4.19.

LEMMA 4.24. *Fix an admissible cocycle $\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$ for which we may take $Z_b = 0$ for generic $J \in J_c(M, D)$. For any $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, we have an equality*

$$\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda_2}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) = \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \circ \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda_1}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) \tag{4.88}$$

PROOF. This is proven exactly as in Lemma 4.18. □

It follows from the lemma that for any such chain, we may obtain an element

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) := \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda,\infty} \circ \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}) \in SH^*(X) \tag{4.89}$$

which is independent of $\lambda$.

REMARK 4.25. *Let $(M, \mathbf{D})$ be any pair. For every component $D_i$, consider a fundamental chain $\alpha_i$ on the corresponding circle bundle $\mathring{S}_i$. Then we obtain canonical classes $\theta_i = \mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_i t^{v_i}) \in SH^*(X)$. Set $\theta_{BS} = \sum_i \theta_i$. It is these classes $\theta_{BS}$ which are constructed in the work of* [**BS**].

LEMMA 4.26. *For any tautologically admissible class $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}$, and given two cycles $\alpha_c$ and $\alpha'_c$ representing $\alpha$, we have that*

$$[\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})] = [\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha'_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}})] \in HF^*(X \subset M, H^{\lambda}_m) \tag{4.90}$$

PROOF. This is proven exactly as in Lemma 4.19. □

For topological pairs $(M, \mathbf{D})$, as in Equations (4.79), (4.80), we therefore obtain a map

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log} : H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D}) \to SH^*(X) \tag{4.91}$$

**4.7. Interplay with the BV operator.** In this subsection, we return to the more general situation and show that the PSS map intertwines the BV operator and the map $\Delta$ on $H^*_{log}(M, D)$. Let $S = \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\}$, thought of as a punctured sphere, with a distinguished marked point $z_0 = \{\infty\}$ and a cylindrical end around $\{0\}$ as before. At $z_0$ we consider an $S^1$ family of domains by allowing the vector $\vec{r}_{z_0}$ to take on arbitrary values $S_{z_0}\mathbb{C}$. We may in complete analogy with PSS define moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{S^1}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)$ and an operation $\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log,S^1}$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log,S^1}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{v}}) = \sum_{x_0, \mathrm{ind}(x_0) - |\alpha t^{\vec{v}}| = -1,\ u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{S^1}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_0)} \mu_u \tag{4.92}$$

where $\mu_u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{o}_{x_0}$ is the isomorphism of orientation lines (and their $\mathbf{k}$-normalizations) induced by rigid elements $u$.

Let $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I$ be a primitive vector and

$$\Gamma_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} : S^1 \times \mathring{S}_I \to \mathring{S}_I \tag{4.93}$$

the corresponding $S^1$ action. Let $P$ be an oriented (possibly non-compact) manifold and $p : P \to \mathring{S}_I$ be a proper map. Choose a triangulation on $P$ and let $\alpha_c$ denote the pushforward of the fundamental chain along the map $p$ and $\alpha$ the corresponding cohomology class. We then have a map

$$\Gamma_p : S^1 \times P \to \mathring{S}_I$$

which is defined as the composition of maps $\Gamma_p := \Gamma_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} \circ (\mathrm{id} \times p)$. Let $\beta_c$ denote the pushforward of the fundamental chain (defined for example as the Eilenberg-Zilber product of the fundamental chain on $S^1$ and the fundamental chain on $P$). under $\Gamma_p$ and $\beta$ the cohomology class.

LEMMA 4.27. *Let $P$ be as above and assume that $\alpha t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}$ is an admissible class in $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$, then*

$$\Delta \circ \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}) = \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log, S^1}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I})$$

PROOF. We consider a version of the PSS moduli space which depends on an $S^1 \times [0, \infty)$ and denote these parameters by $r, q$. Namely, consider the domain $S = \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\}$, thought of as a punctured sphere, with a distinguished marked point $z_0$ and a cylindrical end around $z = 0$ as before. Near $z_0$, we also fix a distinguished tangent direction which points in the positive real direction. The negative cylindrical end of the surface depends on $r \in S^1$. Namely at infinity, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_r : [0, \infty) \times S^1 \times S^1 &\to S \\ (s, t) &\mapsto e^{2\pi(-s + i(t-r))} \end{aligned} \tag{4.94}$$

The complex structure and Hamiltonian functions can similarly be chosen along the end to be

$$(H_{t,r,x} = H(t - r, x), J_{t,r,x} = J_{t-r}) \tag{4.95}$$

In a neighborhood of zero, we keep the $(H_t, J_t)$ fixed. Consider solutions $u : S \to M$ satisfying

$$(du - X_r \otimes \beta)^{0,1} = 0 \tag{4.96}$$

with asymptotic condition

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} u(\epsilon(s, t)) = x_0^{(r)} \tag{4.97}$$

where $\beta$ is as in (4.13). We also require (4.26) and the natural variant of (4.28). In the $q$-parameter we consider a deformation of $\beta, \beta_q$, given by taking $\psi_q(z) = \psi(s - q, t)$. Note that in the definition of $\mathrm{PSS}_{S^1}$, if we want to fix the vector $\vec{r}_{z_0}$ to point in the positive real direction, we can rotate the surface $S$ by $(s, t) \to (s, t - r)$. This is equivalent to solving Floer's equation for the rotated inhomogeneous term $(X_{H^{\lambda}_m} \otimes \beta)(s, t - r)$ such that the solutions are asymptotic to the output orbit $x_0^{(r)}$.

We may continuously homotope this subclosed one form $(X_{H^{\lambda}_m} \otimes \beta)(s, t - r)$ to the form $X_r \otimes \beta$. Thus the operation defined by this family of surfaces when $q = 0$ is equal to

$\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log,S^1}$ on the level of cohomology. Meanwhile in the limit as $q \to \infty$, the moduli space limits to the fiber product

$$\bigsqcup_{\mathrm{ind}(x_1)-\mathrm{ind}(x_0)=1} \mathcal{M}_{S^1}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \alpha_c, x_1) \times \mathcal{M}_r(x_0, x_1) \tag{4.98}$$

The signed count of elements in this fiber product defines the composition $\Delta \circ \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I})$. There are solutions which arise at intermediate values of $q$ as well, but these give rise to a homotopy between the two operations as in Lemma 4.18. Thus the two operations coincide on the level of cohomology.

□

LEMMA 4.28. *Let $\bar{p} : P \to \mathring{S}_I/S^1$ and $p : P \to \mathring{S}_I$ a section of this map. We have an equality*

$$\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log,S^1}(\alpha_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}) = \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\beta_c t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_I}) \tag{4.99}$$

PROOF. There is a bijection of moduli spaces for the curves used to define the left and right-hand sides of equation (4.99). Moreover, it is easy to check that the conditions for these moduli spaces to be cut out transversely are equivalent and that the bijection preserves (relative) orientations. □

REMARK 4.29. *In the case that $D$ is smooth we can represent every homology class on $SD$ by a pseudocycle. Lemma 4.28 holds in this case with the same proof when $P$ is a pseudocycle.*

## 5. Quasi-dilations in string topology

For simplicity, we assume

(5.1) all manifolds $Q$ appearing in this section are connected, closed, oriented and Spin.

The main goal of this section is to explain how the existence of quasi-dilations in the string topology of such $Q$ imply topological constraints on the manifold, particularly in dimension three. More precisely, for any $Q$, Chas and Sullivan [**CS**, **CJ**] constructed a BV algebra structure on the homology of $Q$'s free loop space $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k}) = H_{n-*}(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$, called the *loop homology algebra* (over $\mathbf{k}$). The basic manifestations of this structure most relevant to us are:

- $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$ has a *unit element* $1 \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{L}Q) \cong H_n(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$ corresponding to a choice of fundamental class $[Q]$ via the inclusion of constant loops $Q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}Q$; and
- $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$ has a BV-operator, which is especially easy to describe. Denote the canonical rotation action on the loop space by

$$\Gamma : S^1 \times \mathcal{L}Q \to \mathcal{L}Q \tag{5.2}$$

  The BV-operator is given by

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \Gamma_*(\epsilon \otimes \alpha), \tag{5.3}$$

  where $\epsilon \in H_1(S^1)$ is a fixed choice of fundamental class

There is an isomorphism of groups [**V1**, **SW**, **AbSc2**, **A2**]

$$\mathcal{AS} : SH^*(T^*Q, \mathbf{k}) \to \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k}) \tag{5.4}$$

which intertwines algebra structures [**AbSc1**]. Although it is not explicitly mentioned, the particular isomorphism (5.4) given in [**AbSc2**] can be straightforwardly shown to intertwine

BV operators as well, hence is an isomorphism of BV-algebras (alternatively, see the more recent [**A2**]). Fixing the base-point $1 \in S^1$, evaluating a loop at 1 produces an evaluation morphism

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{L}Q \to Q$$

Let $\mathbb{H}^*(Q)$ denote the intersection ring of $Q$ (meaning the homology $H_{n-*}(Q, \mathbf{k})$ equipped with the intersection product). The induced map

$$\mathrm{ev}_* : \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k}) \to \mathbb{H}^*(Q, \mathbf{k})$$

is a unital ring homomorphism.

Fix a BV-algebra $(A, \Delta)$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Let $\alpha \in A^0$ and $\Psi \in A^1$ be two elements satisfying

$$\Delta(\Psi) = \alpha \tag{5.5}$$

We say that $(\Psi, \alpha)$ is

- a *quasi-dilation* if $\alpha$ is a unit with respect to the algebra structure in $A$;
- a *dilation* if $\alpha = 1$.

Remark 5.1. *The notion of quasi-dilation is due to Seidel* [**S5**]*, who introduced it in the equivalent form*

$$\Delta(\alpha \Psi_S) = \alpha. \tag{5.6}$$

*Equation* (5.6)*, which is related to the dilation condition* (5.5) *by replacing $\alpha\Psi_S$ with $\Psi$ (which is an invertible operation), has a natural geometric interpretation. Let $Z$ be a smooth algebraic variety equipped with an invertible function $\alpha$, a holomorphic volume form $\omega$, and a vector-field $\Psi_S$. The condition that the vector field $\Psi_S$ dilates $\alpha\omega$ may be written as*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Psi_S}(\alpha\omega) = \alpha\omega.$$

*Under a standard dictionary between commutative and non-commutative geometry (see e.g., Lecture 19 of* [**S5**]*), this translates to the equation* (5.6)*.*

We will also consider one further definition, which is specific to the string topology setup, but useful for formulating Lemmas 5.5, 5.7 and in the proof of Corollary 5.9.

Definition 5.2. *For any (closed, oriented, spin) manifold $Q$, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$, $\Psi \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$ be two elements satisfying* (5.5)*. We say that $(\Psi, \alpha)$ is a* pseudo-dilation *if $\mathrm{ev}_*(\alpha) \in \mathbb{H}^*(Q, \mathbf{k})^\times$. Whenever such $(\Psi, \alpha)$ exist, we will say that $Q$ admits a pseudo-dilation over $\mathbf{k}$.*

In the case $Q = K(G, 1)$, we have that $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{L}Q) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}(G)$, the center of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[G]$

Lemma 5.3. *For any group $G$, and any commutative ring $\mathbf{k}$, the center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}(G)$ of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[G]$ has a free $\mathbf{k}$-basis $\{\sum_{g \in C} g\}$ indexed by finite conjugacy classes $C$ of $G$.*

In the case of oriented surfaces, there are quasi-dilations in $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z})$ when $g = 0, 1$ (this follows from direct computation or a simplified version of the arguments below). On the other hand if $g \geq 2$, the center of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}[\pi_1(\Sigma_g)]$ is trivial and $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{L}\Sigma_g) = \mathbf{k}$. It follows that if $g \geq 2$, there are no quasi-dilations in $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}\Sigma_g, \mathbf{k})$, because there are no dilations. We now consider the implications of our condition in dimension 3, where the diffeomorphism type of $Q$ is again essentially controlled by the fundamental group of $Q$. We begin with a definition:

DEFINITION 5.4. *We say that a closed, oriented manifold $Q$ is* dominated *by a closed oriented manifold $\hat{Q}$ if there is a non-zero degree map $f : \hat{Q} \to Q$. We say the $Q$ is* 1-dominated *if the map $f$ has degree 1.*

LEMMA 5.5. *Suppose that a closed oriented 3-manifold $Q$ admits a pseudo-dilation over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $Q$ is dominated by $S^1 \times \hat{B}$ for some closed, orientable Riemann surface $\hat{B}$.*

PROOF. By definition the element $\Psi$ is a class $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{L}Q) = H_2(\mathcal{L}Q)$. Without loss of generality assume that $\Psi$ is concentrated in the homology of a single connected component of the loop space $H_2(\mathcal{L}_c Q)$. Because $\Psi$ lies in degree 2, we may represent this class by a map from an orientable, connected 2-manifold (see e.g., [**MS**, Ex. 6.5.4])

$$\Sigma : \hat{B} \to \mathcal{L}_c Q$$

It follows that the map

$$\mathbf{ev} \circ \Gamma \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \Sigma) : S^1 \times \hat{B} \to Q$$

has non-zero degree, in particular that $Q$ is dominated by this manifold. □

We will make use of the following result which in turn relies on many important developments in 3-manifold topology.

THEOREM 5.6. [**KN**] *Let $Q$ be a closed, oriented 3-manifold dominated by $S^1 \times \hat{B}$. Then, either:*

- *$Q$ is finitely covered by $S^1 \times B$ for $B$ closed and oriented of genus $\geq 1$ (and in particular aspherical), or*
- *$Q$ admits a metric of positive sectional curvature. Equivalently, $Q$ is finitely covered by a connected sum $\#_n S^1 \times S^2$ (by convention, the case $n = 0$ corresponds to $S^3$).*

One can improve this result when the pseudo-dilation $(\Psi, \alpha)$ has an integral lift, leading to a curious characterization of $S^3$ in terms of dilations.

LEMMA 5.7. *Suppose $Q$ is a closed, oriented 3-manifold. If $Q$ admits a metric of positive sectional curvature and a psuedo-dilation over $\mathbb{Z}$, then $Q \cong \#_n S^1 \times S^2$. Moreover, for any $Q$ (no curvature assumption) such that $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbb{Z})$ admits a dilation, then $Q \cong S^3$.*

PROOF. *Subclaim:* Let $Q$ be a spherical space form. For any prime $p$ which divides $|\pi_1(Q)|$ and any map $f : S^1 \times B \to Q$, $p$ divides $\deg(f)$.

*Proof of Subclaim:* Let $p$ be such a prime. By possibly lifting the map to a cover of $Q$, we may assume that the map $f$ is surjective on $\pi_1$. Next, by Cauchy's theorem, we may find a cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of order $p$ in $\pi_1(Q)$. Passing to the corresponding covering space in both the source and the target of the map, it suffices to prove the subclaim when $Q$ is a lens space with fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. There is a generator $\alpha \in H^1(Q, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha \cup \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ is a generator of $H^3(Q, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the coefficient sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z}/p \to \mathbb{Z}/p^2 \to \mathbb{Z}/p \to 0$. Since the Bockstein homomorphisms are zero for $S^1 \times B$, the subclaim follows from the naturality of the cup-products and Bockstein homomorphisms.

With this subclaim proven, we prove the first statement in the lemma. Observe that if $Q$ admits a pseudo-dilation over $\mathbb{Z}$, the fundamental class can be written as the sum of pushforwards of the fundamental class along $f_j : S^1 \times B_j \to Q$ (the $j$ range over different components of $\mathcal{L}Q$). In particular, we must have that the integers $\deg(f_j)$ are relatively

prime. On the other hand, as was shown in [**GL**, **M4**, **P2**, **P3**] and reviewed in ([**KN**, §2]), $Q$ admits a prime decomposition with primes $S^1 \times S^2$ or spherical space forms $Q_i$. For every spherical space form which appears, we obtain a degree 1 map $Q \to Q_i$. This implies that for each map $f_j : S^1 \times B_j \to Q$, and every $p$ which divides $|\pi_1(Q_i)|$, $p$ divides $\deg(f_j)$. Therefore, every $Q_i$ must be $S^3$ and the lemma is proved.

To prove the second statement, observe that if $Q_n = \#_n S^1 \times S^2$ for $n \geq 1$ admitted a dilation, then we have a degree 1 map $f : Q_n \to S^1 \times S^2$, which induces a map $f_L$ on loop spaces. The BV operator is natural for these maps $f_L$ and hence this would imply that $S^1 \times S^2$ has an integral dilation, which is known not to be true. Finally, it is impossible that $Q$ is finitely covered by $S^1 \times B$, because such manifolds are aspherical and do not admit dilations over any $\mathbf{k}$. □

REMARK 5.8. *Lemma 5.7 is sharp in the sense that examples of exact Lagrangian embeddings show that there exist quasi-dilations in the loop homology algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ of $\#_n S^1 \times S^2$ for all $n$. On the other hand, it seems likely that in the aspherical case, an integral quasi-dilation implies that $Q \cong S^1 \times B$. While we do not prove this, the present version is sufficient for our applications.*

COROLLARY 5.9. *Suppose that $X$ is a 6-dimensional Liouville domain such that $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ admits a quasi-dilation. Suppose that $Q \hookrightarrow X$ is an exact Lagrangian embedding. Then $Q$ is one of the two types of manifolds listed in 5.6. If the quasi-dilation lifts to $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and $Q$ admits a metric of positive sectional curvature, then $Q \cong \#_n S^1 \times S^2$ for all $n$.*

PROOF. $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ admits a dilation, which implies that $Q$ admits a rational pseudo-dilation by taking the degree 1 component of $j^!(\Psi)$ and the degree 0 component of $j^!(\alpha)$ (observe that we make no assumption on the Maslov class of our Lagrangian, see [**R**] for other applications of Viterbo functoriality in the absence of Maslov class assumptions). The result then follows from Theorem 5.6. The second statement is proven in exactly the same way after invoking Lemma 5.7. □

Corollary 5.9 has implications in symplectic topology outside of the main cases we consider in this paper. For example, let $X$ be a 3-dimensional $A_n$ Milnor fibre. Using Lefschetz fibrations techniques (as in [**SS**, §7]), $SH^*(X)$ can be seen to admit an integral quasi-dilation and in fact a dilation over every field with characteristic not equal to 2. This implies that if $Q$ is a rational homology sphere which admits an exact Lagrangian embedding, $j : Q \hookrightarrow X$, then $Q \cong S^3$. We finish this section by recording one final lemma for later use.

LEMMA 5.10. *Suppose that $Q$ is a closed, oriented, aspherical 3-manifold such that $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbb{Q})$ admits a quasi-dilation. Then either $Q$ is finitely covered by $T^3$ or $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi_1(L)) = \mathbb{Q}[h, h^{-1}]$ for a single generator $h$.*

PROOF. The classification from Theorem 5.6 shows in particular that $Q$ is *Seifert fibered* (see e.g., [**JS**] for a comprehensive treatment of these manifolds). Let $h$ denote the class of a fiber of our Seifert fibration passing through our base-point $p$. Well-known facts about the fundamental groups of Seifert fibered manifolds, see Chapter II of [**JS**], then show that either $Q$ admits a finite cover by $T^3$ or the center of the group ring is either $\mathbf{k}[\langle h \rangle]$ when the base of the Seifert fibration is orientable or $\mathbf{k}[h + h^{-1}]$ in the case of non-orientable base. It follows that the base of the Seifert fibration $B'$ is orientable as $\mathbf{k}[h + h^{-1}]$ has no non-trivial units. □

REMARK 5.11. *Beyond dilations and quasi-dilations, one might also study interesting relations involving the Lie structure on $SH^*$ or $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q)$. The simplest such relation is as follows: let $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$ and $\Psi \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbf{k})$ be two loop space classes. Then $(\Psi, \alpha)$ define a* coordinate function *if*

$$[\alpha, \Psi] = 1$$

*Using similar methods in 3-manifold topology together with some elementary homological algebra, one may show that if $Q$ is a closed, orientable 3-manifold with a coordinate function $(\Psi, \alpha)$, then $Q$ either*

- *is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times B$, where $B$ is an orientable Riemann surface.*
- *admits a finite covering $T^3 \to Q$.*

## 6. Dilations and Applications

**6.1. Dilations.** Let $M$ be a Fano variety of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $D$ an ample smooth divisor such that $H^2(M, \mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}\langle c_1(D)\rangle$ and

$$K_M^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(mD)$$

for $m > n/2$. Let $j_D : D \to M$ denote the inclusion. For any three cohomology classes $\beta_i \in H^*(M)$, let $GW_M(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ and $GW_M(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ denote the two-point and three-point Gromov Witten invariants on $M$ [**MS**]. Throughout this subsection, since we are in the case of a smooth divisor, we will use the more suggestive notation $S_D$ for $S_1$.

THEOREM 6.1. *Let $\alpha_0$ be a class in $H^{2m-4}(M)$ and set $\alpha_i = c_1(D)^i \cup \alpha_0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Suppose that*

$$j_D^*(\alpha_2) = 0 \in H^*(D) \tag{6.1}$$

$$GW_M(c_1(D), \alpha_1, pt) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \tag{6.2}$$

*Then $SH^*(X, \mathbf{k})$ admits a dilation.*

The proof of Theorem 6.1 requires two lemmas. To state the first, we introduce some notation. Choose an ample divisor $D_N \subset D$ representing the normal bundle $ND$. Recall that given such a $D_N$, one may form the real blow up $\tilde{D}$ of $D$ along $D_N$, which is a submanifold with boundary inside of $S_D$ (see e.g [**S**, §8.2]). Locally, given a holomorphic function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$, the real blow-up along $f^{-1}(0)$ is constructed as closure of the graph of

$$\frac{f}{||f||} : U \setminus \{f^{-1}(0)\} \to \mathbb{S}^1.$$

For a general smooth divisor, it is constructed by patching together these local models and has boundary $\pi^{-1}(D_N) \subset S_D$. Pick a generic pseudocycle $P$ representing $j_D^*(\alpha_0)$ in $H^*(D)$ (the second bulleted condition implies that this is a non-trivial class by the adjunction formula). For a sufficiently generic choice, $L := \pi^{-1}(P) \cap \tilde{D}$ is a pseudo-cycle (with boundary) whose boundary is $\partial L := \pi^{-1}(P \cap D_N) \subset S_D$. Under the first bulleted assumption, the $S^1$-bundle $S_D|_{P \cap D_N}$ is trivial and thus we may choose a section $K : P \cap D_N \to S_D$ which is geometrically a section over $S_D|_{P \cap D_N}$. We have that $H^2(X) = 0$ by the Gysin sequence and so

$$GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}([K]) = 0 \in H^2(X). \tag{6.3}$$

where $GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}([K]) = 0$ is the obstruction class defined as in Equation 3.21. We can therefore pick a bounding relative pseudocycle $Z_b$ so $\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(Kt, Z_b)$ defines a class in $SH^*(X, \mathbf{k})$ for any $\lambda > m$. As before consider the fiber product

$$ev_\infty : \mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M, D, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1)^o \times_{\mathrm{Ev}_0} L \to X. \tag{6.4}$$

This defines a pseudocycle $\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}(L)}$ when restricted to $\bar{X}$ because the map from the fiber-product

$$ev_\infty : \mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M, D, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1)^o \times_{\mathrm{Ev}_0} \partial L \to X \tag{6.5}$$

factors through a lower dimensional manifold as in the proof of Lemma 3.21. We may now state our first lemma:

Lemma 6.2. *There is a (cohomological) equality*

$$\Delta(\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(Kt, Z_b)) = -\mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}(L)}) \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. The argument of Lemma 4.27 shows that

$$\Delta(\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(Kt, Z_b)) = \mathrm{PSS}(\partial Lt). \tag{6.7}$$

Meanwhile we have that

$$\partial_{CF} \circ \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(Lt) = \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(\partial Lt) + \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}(L)}) \tag{6.8}$$

It follows that on the level of cohomology, we have that

$$\Delta(\mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(Kt, Z_b)) = -\mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}(L)}) \tag{6.9}$$

□

Lemma 6.3. *We have an equality*

$$GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}(L) \cdot [pt] = GW(c_1(D), \alpha_1, pt), \tag{6.10}$$

*where the left-hand side denotes the intersection product; recall that* $GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}(L) \in H^{BM}_{2n}(X) \cong H^0(X)$.

Proof. Fix a generic point $pt$ in the interior of $\bar{X}$. Let $S^1$ act on $\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M, D, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1)$ by rotation in the domain. Observe that for generic $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \mathbf{D})$, we have an orientation preserving bijection of moduli spaces

$$\underline{GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}(L)} \times_{ev_\infty} pt = \mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M, D, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1)/S^1 \times_{ev_0} P \times_{ev_\infty} pt \tag{6.11}$$

Moreover all such curves passing through $pt$ are $D_u$ regular for generic $J$ even before taking fiber products with $L$ and $P$ respectively. It follows that $GW_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}(L) \cdot pt = GW_M(\alpha_1, pt)$. To conclude, observe that by the divisor equation, we have that $GW_M(\alpha_1, pt) = GW(c_1(D), \alpha_1, pt)$. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Set $\beta = \mathrm{PSS}^{\lambda}_{log}(Kt, Z_b)$. Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 imply that, up to an overall sign, $\Delta\beta = GW(c_1(D), \alpha_1, pt) \cdot \mathrm{PSS}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset}(1) = GW(c_1(D), \alpha_1, pt) \cdot 1$, a non-zero multiple of the unit by hypothesis. Now normalize. □

Theorem 6.1 is only useful when symplectic cohomology is non-vanishing. For example, one may easily show that $SH^*(M \setminus D)$ vanishes whenever $(M, D)$ and $\alpha_0$ are as in Theorem 6.1, equations (6.1) and (6.2) hold and in addition

$$j_D^*(\alpha_1) = 0 \in H^*(D). \tag{6.12}$$

Thus Theorem 6.1 is only useful for applications when in addition

$$j_D^*(\alpha_1) \neq 0 \in H^*(D) \tag{6.13}$$

REMARK 6.4. *Theorem 6.1 is closely related to* [**S5**, Conjecture 18.6]. *In the situation of Theorem 6.1, Seidel proposes an explicit formula for the Hamiltonian Floer cohomology (with its BV operator) of a Hamiltonian of a specific slope $\lambda$ and suggests applying this to produce dilations (see Examples 18.8 and 18.9 of* loc. cit*). Theorem 6.1 uses the Log PSS map to bypass the computation of Hamiltonian Floer cohomology.*

We now turn to some examples. It is well-known [**Y**] that the string topology $H_{n-*}(\mathcal{L}Q)$ of certain rank 1 homogeneous spaces such as $Q = \mathbb{H}P^n$ admit dilations over fields $k$ of characteristic 0. It is known that the open disc-bundles $D^*Q$ can be given the structure of affine algebraic varieties and admit a compactification $(M, \mathbf{D})$ where $M$ is a Grassmannian $Gr(2, 2n+2)$ and $D$ is a smooth hyperplane section as above (similar compactifications also exist for $Q = S^n$ or $Q = \mathbb{C}P^n$). The above theorem recovers this dilation algebro-geometrically, and allows us to construct dilations for a much wider class of pairs $(M, \mathbf{D})$.

For an example which is slightly more complex than cotangent bundles, let $M$ be a generic hyperplane section in $Gr(2, 2n+2)$ and $D$ be a generic hyperplane. We will show that $SH^*(M \setminus D)$ admits a dilation over $\mathbb{Q}$. The variety $M$ is a $4n-1$-dimensional complex manifold and has $m = 2n+1$. Recall that there is a Schubert basis for the cohomology of $Gr(2, 2n+2)$, which is index by pairs $(a_1, a_2)$, where $a_1 \geq a_2$ and $0 \leq a_i \leq 2n$. We denote the corresponding cohomology classes by $\sigma_{a_1,a_2}$ and the cohomological degree of $\sigma_{a_1,a_2}$ is $2(a_1 + a_2)$. Denoting by $j_M$ the inclusion $j_M : M \to Gr(2, 2n+2)$, the hard Lefschetz theorem implies that the classes $j_M^*\sigma_{a_1,a_2} \in H^*(M)$ generate the cohomology of $M$.

For making calculations in Gromov Witten theory, it will be useful to observe that $M$ is in fact symplectomorphic to an isotropic Grassmannian $IG(2, 2n+2)$, which parameterizes isotropic two planes in a symplectic vector space (the isotropic Grassmannian arises by choosing a suitably equivariant hyperplane section) of dimension $2n+2$. The isotropic Grassmannian $IG(2, 2n+2)$ is a homogeneous variety for the symplectic group $Sp(2n+2)$ and like any variety $G/P$ has a natural stratification by Schubert type cells $BwP/P$ where $w$ ranges over the elements of the Weyl group $W$. These cells are indexed by partitions $(a_1, a_2)$ as above, with the additional condition $(a_1, a_2)$ [**BKT**] is an $n-1$ strict partition, i.e. that if $a_1 > n-1$, then $a_2 < a_1$. We represent the corresponding Poincaré dual cohomology classes by $\{\tau_{a_1,a_2}\}$.

It is easy to compute the matrix describing the relationship between the two generating sets $j_M^*(\sigma_{a_1,a_2})$ and $\tau_{a_1,a_2}$. Namely, if $(a_1, a_2)$ is an $n-1$ strict partition, then we have that (see [**P1**, Lemma 3] which works out the "odd" case of a hyperplane in $Gr(2, 2n+1)$, the "even" case is exactly the same with the same proof) $j_M^*(\sigma_{a_1,a_2}) =:$

- $\tau_{a_1,a_2}$, if $a_1 + a_2 < 2n$
- $\tau_{a_1,a_2} + \tau_{a_1+1,a_2-1}$, if $a_1 + a_2 \geq 2n$

Define $\alpha_{1,G} \in H^{4n}$

$$\alpha_{1,G} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} (-1)^i c_i \sigma_{i,2n-i},$$

where $c_i$ are defined by the rule that $c_{n+1} = 1$ and $c_i - c_{i-1} = i - n$.

LEMMA 6.5. *Let $\alpha_1 = j_M^*(\alpha_{1,G}) \in H^{4m}(Gr(2, 2n+2))$. The element $\alpha_1 \in H^{4n}(M)$ satisfies (6.13) and the associated class $\alpha_2 = c_1(D) \cup \alpha_1$ satisfies (6.1).*

PROOF. Let

$$\alpha_{2,G} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} (-1)^i (i-n)\sigma_{i,2n+1-i} \in\in H^{4n+2}(Gr(2,2n+2))$$

The Pieri rules for the ordinary Grassmannian [**M**, page 331] show that $\sigma_{1,0}\cdot\alpha_{1,G} = \alpha_{2,G}$. Let $\alpha_2$ denote the restriction of this element to $H^*(M)$. We claim that $\alpha_2$ generates the kernel of the restriction map

$$j_D^* : H^{4n+2}(M) \to H^{4n+2}(D).$$

It follows easily from the hard Lefschetz theorem that the kernel is one dimensional. To see that our element sits inside the kernel, observe that

$$\sigma_{1,0}\cdot\alpha_{2,G} = \alpha_{3,G}$$

where the element $\alpha_{3,G}$ is defined by the equation

$$\alpha_{3,G} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} (-1)^i \sigma_{i,2n+2-i} \in H^{4n+4}(Gr(2,2n+2))$$

Note that by the Pieri rules, the element satisfies $\sigma_{1,0}\cdot\alpha_{3,G} = 0$. From which it follows that

$$\sigma_{1,0}^2\cdot\alpha_{2,G} = 0 \in H^{4n+6}(Gr(2,2n+2))$$

It follows that this element lies in the kernel as required. □

A similar application of the hard Lefschetz theorem (or alternatively, the Pieri formulas) shows that there is an element $\alpha_{0,G} \in H^*(Gr(2,2n+2),\mathbb{Q})$ (whose formula precise formula is a bit more complicated and not essential to our argument) such that the classes $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ associated to $\alpha_0 = j_M^*(\alpha_{0,G})$ satisfy (6.1), (6.13).

The genus 0 GW invariants of isotropic Grassmannians are well understood [**BKT**]. We apply these computations to obtain:

LEMMA 6.6. *The GW invariant* $GW_M(\tau_{1,0},\alpha_1,pt) = n$.

PROOF. Let $(a_1,a_2)$ be a strict partition such that $a_1+a_2 = 2n$. The quantum Pieri rule for isotropic Grassmannians [**BKT**, Theorem 1.4] tells us that

$$GW_M(\tau_{1,0},\tau_{a_1,a_2},pt) = \begin{cases} 1 & (a_1,a_2) = (2n,0) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Observe that after changing bases,

$$\alpha_1 = n\tau_{2n,0} + \sum_{a_1<2n} c_{a_1}\tau_{a_1,a_2}$$

The result is immediate. □

It follows from Theorem 6.1 that $SH^*(M\setminus\mathbf{D},\mathbb{Q})$ has a dilation. Of course, to ensure that our construction is non-trivial, we should prove

LEMMA 6.7. *The symplectic cohomology* $SH^*(M\setminus\mathbf{D})$ *is non-vanishing.*

PROOF. This is a standard application of the Morse-Bott spectral sequence for $SH$ of a divisor complement $M \setminus \mathbf{D}$ [S1, Equation 3.2]; as is well understood, the first page of this spectral sequence is the log cohomology $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$. The symplectic cohomology $SH^*(M \setminus \mathbf{D})$ vanishes iff the identity $1 \in H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ is killed on some page of the spectral sequence. In this instance, we have a single variable $t$ of degree $-4n$, and for grading reasons, the only possible differentials which could kill the identity in $H^*_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ are of the form $\alpha t$, where $\alpha$ lies $H^{4n-1}(S_D)$.

We claim that $H^{4n-1}(S_D)$ in fact vanishes. In view of the Gysin sequence, this fact follows from the claim that the map $\sigma_{1,0} \cup : H^{4n-2}(D) \to H^{4n}(D)$ is injective. This would follow from the hard Lefschetz theorem if we knew that the map

$$\sigma_{1,0} \cup : H^{4n-4}(Gr(2, 2n+2)) \to H^{4n-2}(Gr(2, 2n+2))$$

is surjective (since the nilpotency of the Lefschetz operator on any non-zero element of $H^{4n-2}(Gr(2, 2n+2))$ must then be at least four). The hard Lefschetz theorem tells us that this map must be injective and since both groups have rank $n$, the map must be surjective as well. This completes the proof. □

It is interesting to contrast this with the case when $M$ is an odd isotropic Grassmannian $IG(2, 2n+1)$, which is a hyperplane section in $Gr(2, 2n+1)$. We again let $D$ be a generic hyperplane section in $M$. Here, one may readily check that for any classes $\alpha_0$ satisfying the axioms of 6.1 , $j^*_D(\alpha_1) = 0$ which as remarked above implies that the symplectic cohomology $SH^*(M \setminus D)$ vanishes. In fact, $M \setminus D$ is a subcritcal Stein manifold. The easiest way to see this is via the notion of *defect* of $M$ studied by [BJ]. The defect of $M$ is defined to be the formula

$$\mathrm{def}(M) = \mathrm{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^*) - 1$$

where $M^*$ is the dual projective variety (with respect to the linearization given by $D$). Theorem 6.A. of [BJ] shows that whenever $\mathrm{def}(M) > 0$, $M \setminus D$ is subcritical. In our case, it follows from items (2) and (4) of the "Example" on page 4418 of [BJ] that $\mathrm{def}(M) = 1$ and hence the conclusion follows.

**6.2. Quasi-dilations.** For the remainder of this article, assume $(M, \mathbf{D})$ is a pair together with a fixed subcollection of divisors $D_j$, $j \in J$ where $J := \{2, \cdots, |J|+1\}$ and such that $\cap_J D_j$ is connected and non-empty. We assume that there exists a volume form $\Omega$ on $M$ whose divisor of zeroes is as in (3.4) with $a_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1 \cup J\}$. We will also assume that $D_1$ is a divisor which satisfies the following condition:

(B1) The normal bundle to $ND_1$ is trivial when restricted to $D_1 \setminus \cup_{i \neq 1} D_i$

Because the torus bundle $\mathring{S}_1$ is trivial, the cohomology splits as the cohomology $H^*(\mathring{S}_1) \cong H^*(S^1) \otimes H^*(\mathring{D}_1)$. In particular, if we fix an isomorphism $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \cong H^*(S^1)$, there is a corresponding cohomology class $\epsilon \otimes 1 \in H^*(\mathring{S}_1)$. This cohomology class in turn gives rise to a generator $\beta_1 t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1} \in H^1_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$. We choose a chain level section $\beta_{1,c}$. Let $\alpha_1 t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}$ denote the generator in $H^0_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ corresponding to the fundamental class on $\mathring{S}_1$ and let $\alpha_{1,c}$ be a fundamental cycle constructed via the Eilenberg-Zilber product. Let $\alpha_J t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_J}$ denote the generator in $H^0_{log}(M, \mathbf{D})$ corresponding to the fundamental class in $\mathring{S}_J$ and choose a fundamental cycle $\alpha_{J,c}$. We require:

(B2) $D_1 \cap D_J = \emptyset$

(B3) The classes $\beta_1 t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}$ and $\alpha_J t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_J}$ are both admissible with vanishing obstruction class. Moreover, there is a unique spherical class $B_0 \in H_2(M)_\omega$ which satisfies $B_0 \cdot \mathbf{D} = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1$ and a unique spherical $B_1 \in H_2(M)_\omega$ which satisfies $B_1 \cdot \mathbf{D} = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J$.

(B4) There is a unique spherical class $B = B_0 + B_1$ such that $B \cdot \mathbf{D} = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J$. Moreover, given a decomposition of $B = B' + B''$ with $B', B'' \in H_2(M)_\omega$ and $B' \cdot D_i \geq 0$ for all $i$, we have that $B' = B_0$ or $B' = B_1$.

Condition (B3) ensures that the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)$ are well-defined. We can also consider three point invariants.

Definition 6.8. *Let $B \in H_2(M)_\omega$ be the unique spherical class such that $B \cdot \mathbf{D} = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{0,3}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)$ denote the space of $D_1$ and $D_J$-regular maps $u : (C, z_0, z_1, z_2) \to (M, \mathbf{D})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u_*([\mathbb{C}P^1]) &= B \\ u^{-1}(D_1) &= z_0 \\ u^{-1}(D_j) &= z_1 \text{ for } j \in J. \end{aligned}$$

As the classes are primitive, all such curves $u$ are automatically somewhere injective and thus we may achieve transversality for such maps. Consider $ev_\infty^{-1}(X) = \mathcal{M}_{0,3}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)^o$. We have a partial compactification of this moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)^o$ given by incorporating nodal curves with two components in classes $B_0$ and $B_1$ (notice that this is the only way that a curve which passes through a point $x \in X$ could bubble in view of (B4)) such that the evaluation map $ev_\infty : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)^o \to X$ is proper and defines a relative pseudocycle

$$\underline{GW(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)} \tag{6.14}$$

when intersected with $\bar{X}$.

Theorem 6.9. *Take $\lambda > \kappa_1$ and choose a bounding cochain $Z_b$ for $\beta_1 t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}$. Suppose further that the cohomology class $GW(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J) \in H^0(X)^\times$. Then the pair*

$$(\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda,\infty} \circ \mathrm{PSS}^\lambda_{log}(\beta_{1,c} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}, Z_b), \mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_{1,c} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1})) \tag{6.15}$$

*defines a quasi-dilation.*

This follows immediately from the fact that $\Delta(\mathrm{PSS}^\lambda_{log}(\beta_{1,c} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}, Z_b)) = \mathrm{PSS}^\lambda_{log}(\alpha_{1,c} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1})$ together with the following lemma.

Lemma 6.10. *Under the above assumptions,*

$$\mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_{1,c} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}) \cdot \mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_{J,c} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_J}) = \mathrm{PSS}(GW(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J))$$

Proof. We define an auxiliary moduli space which will allow us to prove that the PSS map defined above preserves the ring structure. We work over a parameter space $q \in [b, \infty)$ with $b \gg 0$. We again consider the surface $S_{q,2} = \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\}$, with a negative cylindrical end as before, but this time with two distinguished marked points at $z_1 = q$ and $z_2 = -q$. Let $\beta$ be a subclosed 1-form on $S_{q,2}$ satisfying

- The form $\beta$ restricts to $2dt$ on the cylindrical end;
- $\beta = 0$ in neighborhoods of $z_1 = -q$ and $z_2 = q$.

Define

$$\mathcal{M}_q(M, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J; x_0)$$

to be the moduli space of pairs $(q, u)$, with $q \in [b, \infty)$ and

$$u : S_{q,2} \to M$$

as usual satisfying

$$(du - X_{H_m^\lambda} \otimes \beta)^{0,1} = 0 \tag{6.16}$$

with asymptotic condition

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} u(\epsilon(s,t)) = x_0 \tag{6.17}$$

and tangency/intersection conditions

$$u(x) \notin \mathbf{D} \text{ for } x \neq z_i; \tag{6.18}$$

$$u(z_1) \text{ intersects } D_i \text{ with multiplicity } \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1. \tag{6.19}$$

$$u(z_2) \text{ intersects } D_i \text{ with multiplicity } \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J \ . \tag{6.20}$$

This moduli space admits a compactification which incorporates limits as $q \to \infty$. The top stratum of this compactification over $q = \infty$ is the fiber product :

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,3}(M, \mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)^o \times_{ev_\infty} \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_\emptyset, x_0) \tag{6.21}$$

The operation associated to counting rigid configurations of (6.21) for varying $x_0$ is by definition the composition

$$\mathrm{PSS}(GW(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J)) \tag{6.22}$$

Next consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_b(M, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J; x_0)$ which is the restriction of the above moduli space to domains $S_{b,2}$. We have that the operation defined by $\mathcal{M}_b(M, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J; x_0)$ is homotopic to (6.22). Let $\Sigma$ denote the pair of pants equipped with its three standard cylindrical ends as in (2.23). We consider the nodal domain $S_n$ of the form

$$S \cup_\epsilon \Sigma \cup_\epsilon S$$

where the negative cylindrical ends of $S$ are glued to the positive cylindrical ends of $\Sigma$, a pair of pants. Maps from $S_n \to M$ are given by the fiber product of moduli spaces given by:

$$\coprod_{x_1, x_2} \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \alpha_1, x_1) \times \mathcal{M}(\Sigma, x_0, x_1, x_2) \times \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_J, \alpha_J, x_2)$$

We construct a homotopy between this moduli space and $\mathcal{M}_b(M, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J; x_0)$ in two steps. First, we perform a finite connect sum along the cylindrical ends. Then, we can further homotopy the complex structure and Floer datum to the domain $S_{b,2}$ above. We thus reach the desired conclusion. □

We now turn to constructing a wide class of examples. Let $X^o$ be an affine variety and $Z^o \hookrightarrow X^o$ be a principal, smooth, and connected hypersurface.

Definition 6.11. *We say that a pair $(\bar{M}, \bar{\mathbf{D}})$ with $\bar{\mathbf{D}} = \sum_i \bar{D}_i$ $i \in \{3, \cdots, r+2\}$ (the reason for this choice of numbering will be clear momentarily) and $X^o = \bar{M} \setminus \bar{\mathbf{D}}$ is a* good compactification *of $Z^o \hookrightarrow X^o$ if*

1. *$\bar{M}$ is equipped with the polarization $\sum_i \kappa_i \bar{D}_i, \kappa_i > 0$,*
2. *The induced compactification of $Z^o$, $\bar{Z}$, in $\bar{M}$ is smooth and intersects each stratum $\bar{\mathbf{D}}_I = \cap_{i \in I} \bar{D}_i$ transversely.*

(3) $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ *supports an anti-canonical divisor.*

LEMMA 6.12. *Let $Z^o \hookrightarrow X^o$ be a connected hypersurface. Then there is always a compactification $(\bar{M}, \bar{\mathbf{D}})$ satisfying conditions* (1) *and* (2) *in Definition 6.11.*

PROOF. By resolution of singularities, we can assume that there a normal crossings compactification of $X^o$, $(\bar{M}_o, \bar{D}_o)$ with an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}'$ strictly supported on the components of $\bar{D}_o$. Let $Z$ be the compactification of $Z^o$ in $\bar{M}_o$. Next we can do an embedded resolution of singularities to the divisor $\bar{D}_o \cup Z$ by consecutive blow-ups along smooth loci [**H2**] contained in $\bar{M}_o \setminus \bar{D}_o$ to obtain a pair $(\bar{M}, \bar{D})$ so that the transform of $Z$ (which in a slight abuse of notation we denote by $Z$ as well) is smooth and such that the divisor $\bar{D} \cup Z$ is smooth normal crossings.

Let $\pi_r : \bar{M} \to \bar{M}_0$ denote the resolution. By construction, the pair $(\bar{M}, \bar{D})$ admits a $\pi_r$-ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}''$ supported on $\bar{D}$. Considering the line bundle $\pi_r^*(\mathcal{L}')^m \otimes \mathcal{L}''$ for $m \gg 0$ gives an ample line bundle supported on $\bar{D}$ to which we may add sufficiently small multiples of the components $\bar{D}_i$ to obtain an $\mathbb{Q}$-ample divisor which is supported strictly on $\bar{D}$. After clearing denominators we obtain the required ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. □

This in particular implies that any connected hypersurface $Z^o \hookrightarrow X^o$ in a log-Calabi-Yau variety [**GHK1**, Definition 1.1.] admits a good compactification because the definition of log-Calabi Yau given is independent of the compactification (see discussion in *loc. cit.*). Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{\mathbf{D}})$ be any good compactification. Let $\mathbb{P}^1$ be equipped with its standard toric polarization $H_i$, $i = \{1, 2\}$. Consider the blow up of $M := \bar{M} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at $Z \times H_1$ which we denote by

$$\pi : \hat{M} \to M.$$

On $M$, consider divisors $D_i = \bar{M} \times H_i$ and $D_i = \bar{D}_i \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ and let $\mathbf{D}$ denote the normal crossings collection of divisors given by

$$\mathbf{D} = D_1, D_2, D_3, \cdots, D_{r+2}$$

Let $\hat{D}_i$ denote the proper transform of all of the divisors $D_i$. Then the divisors $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ are normal crossings and $\hat{M}$ has a canonical divisor with $a_1 = a_2 = 1$. We choose a sufficiently small rational number $\epsilon_Z$ and equip the blow up with the rational polarization given by

$$\epsilon_Z \hat{D}_1 + (1 - \epsilon_Z)\hat{D}_2 + \sum_{i=3}^{r+2} a_i \hat{D}_i$$

This defines a rational ample line bundle for sufficiently small $\epsilon_Z$ by Exercise 7.14 of [**H1**]. We say that $X = \hat{M} \setminus \hat{D}$ is the conic bundle associated to $Z^o \hookrightarrow X^o$.

LEMMA 6.13. *Let $(\hat{M}, \hat{D})$ be the pair associated to a good compactification by blowing up. Set $J = \{2\}$. Then the pair $(\hat{M}, \hat{D})$ (together with the choice of subcollection $J$) satisfy (B1)-(B4) above. Moreover $GW(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_J) = 1$.*

PROOF. Let $E$ denote the exceptional divisor. To prove (B1), note that in the complement of $D_2$, the divisor $E + D_1$ is a principal divisor. Therefore the result follows from the fact that $Z^o$ is principal. It is immediate that $\hat{D}_1 \cap \hat{D}_J = \emptyset$, thereby verifying (B2). To verify (B3) we begin by checking that $\beta_1 t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}$ is admissible with vanishing obstruction class. To see this, observe that we may use the standard integrable complex structure where the the moduli space of spheres in class $B_0$ is precisely the collection of exceptional spheres.

These spheres are checked to be regular by applying Lemma 3.3.1 of [MS]. As the restriction of the exceptional divisor $E$ to $X$ is principal, it follows that $[E] = 0 \in H^2(X)$. The case of $\alpha_J t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_J}$ is identical (in fact simpler since we need not calculate any Gromov-Witten invariant).

To prove (B4) observe that if $B'$ is not $B_0$ or $B_1$ it necessarily must intersect some $D_i$ for $i \geq 3$ with positive multiplicity. Its symplectic area (with respect to the rational polarization) must then be at least 1, which is the same as the area of $B$. Hence there can be no such bubbling. The final claim about the Gromov-Witten invariant can again be checked using the integrable complex structure. All spheres in the class $B$ lie in the fiber of the conic fibration $\pi_{\bar{M}} : \hat{M} \to \bar{M}$ and there is a unique such sphere (bubbled into two components in classes $B_0$ and $B_1$ where the conic fibration is singular) passing through every point. □

Remark 6.14.
- *One may generalize this example somewhat by considering the blow up of $M := \bar{M} \times \mathbb{P}^s$ at $Z \times \cap_{i=2}^{s+1} H_i$.*
- *Following up on Remark 5.11, we can use the PSS map to produce pairs of distinguished coordinate functions. For example, by similar reasoning, we can prove that the complement of $2n+1$ generic hyperplanes in the Grassmannian $Gr(2, 2n+1)$ admits a coordinate function.*

**6.3. Applications to embedding problems.** We finally turn to the proofs of our last two applications. We first need a lemma which is a variant of Theorem 1.7 of [S2] for quasi-dilations.

Lemma 6.15. *Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field. Suppose that $(M, \mathbf{D})$ is a pair with $n = dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ odd. Suppose that there exists a slope $\lambda$ such that the natural map $H^*(X) \to HF^*(X \subset M; H_m^\lambda)$ is an isomorphism and that there exists an element $\Psi \in HF^1(X \subset M; H_m^{2\lambda})$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda,\infty}(\Psi) \in SH^1(X)$ defines the degree one piece of a quasi-dilation. Suppose further that $Q_1, \cdots, Q_r$ is a collection of embedded Lagrangian spheres which are pairwise disjoinable. Then the classes $[Q_1], \cdots, [Q_r]$ span a subspace of $H_n(X, \mathbf{k})$ which has rank at least $r/2$.*

Proof. All $Q_i$ can be given a $\Psi$-equivariant structure $\tilde{Q}_i$ and we get canonically defined endomorphisms $\Phi_{Q_i,Q_i}$ on $HF^*(Q_i, Q_i)$ as defined in Equation 4.4 of [SS]. By Equation 4.16 of [SS], we have that this operation acts by $\pm 1$ on the degree $n$ piece (here we use that our quasi-dilation is defined over $\mathbb{Z}$) and by Corollary 3.6 of [S2], we have that this acts by 0 on the degree 0 piece. The rest of the proof follows the proof of Theorem 1.7 of [S2] by computing the Lefschetz trace $\tilde{Q}_i \cdot \tilde{Q}_j$ defined in Definition 3.7 of *loc. cit.* The only modification we must make is that in our situation, there are non-trivial signs appearing in the computation (3.17) of [S2]. Namely, we have that $\tilde{Q}_i \cdot \tilde{Q}_j =$

$$\begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{for} \quad i = j \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad i \neq j \end{cases} \tag{6.23}$$

As this does not affect its nondegeneracy, the remainder of the proof goes through unchanged and the result is proven. □

Theorem 6.16. *Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field and $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer. Suppose that $X$ is a conic bundle of total dimension $n$ associated to a good compactification $(\bar{M}, \bar{D})$ and that $Q_1, \cdots, Q_r$ is a collection of embedded Lagrangian spheres which are pairwise disjoinable. Then the classes $[Q_1], \cdots, [Q_r]$ span a subspace of $H_n(X, \mathbf{k})$ which has rank at least $r/2$.*

PROOF. Choose the size of our tubular neighborhoods $\epsilon$ and $\delta$ appearing in the definition of $\bar{X}$ chosen sufficiently small. Then by the period computations in ([**M3**] Theorem 5.16), the Reeb orbit which winds once around $D_1$ is the Reeb orbit of lowest period $\lambda_0$. Choose a generic slope $\lambda$ such that $2\lambda > \lambda_0 > \lambda$. Then $\Psi := \mathrm{PSS}^{2\lambda}_{log}(\beta_{1,c}t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}, Z_b) \in HF^*(X \subset M; H^{2\lambda}_m)$ defines the degree 1 part of a quasi-dilation. Moreover, we have an isomorphism $H^*(X) \cong HF^*(X \subset M; H^{\lambda}_m)$. Now apply Lemma 6.15. □

COROLLARY 6.17. *For any embedded Lagrangian sphere $Q \hookrightarrow X$, the class $[Q] \in H_n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero and primitive.*

PROOF. Letting $r = 1$ in Theorem 6.16, we see that the class $[Q] \in H_n(X, \mathbf{k})$ is non-zero for every field $\mathbf{k}$. This implies the statement of the corollary. □

We now turn to our final application involving three-dimensional conic bundles over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the Newton polytope of $h(\mathbf{w})$ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\Sigma$ be the fan in $M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ associated with a coherent unimodular triangulation of $\mathcal{P}$. Set $\bar{X}^\vee$ to be the associated toric variety. Let further $H$ be an anticanonical divisor in $\bar{X}^\vee$ defined by the function $p := \chi_{0,1} - 1$, where $\chi_{\mathbf{n},k} : X^\vee \to \mathbb{C}$ is the function associated with the character $(\mathbf{n}, k) \in N \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ (here $N$ denotes the dual lattice to $M_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ as is standard in the literature on toric varieties) of the dense torus of $X^\vee$. The mirror to $X$ is the complement $X^\vee := \bar{X}^\vee \setminus H$.

THEOREM 6.18. *Let $X$ be a three-dimensional conic bundle over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ of the form (1.5), and let $j : Q \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed, oriented, exact Lagrangian submanifold of $X$. Then $Q$ is diffeomorphic to either $T^3$ or $\#_n S^1 \times S^2$.*

PROOF. In view of Corollary 5.9, it remains to rule out those manifolds other than $T^3$ which are finitely covered by $S^1 \times B$ with genus of $B \geq 1$. In [**CPU**], it is proven that there is an isomorphism of rings $SH^0(X) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{X^\vee})$. Under this isomorphism, the homology class of the Hamiltonian orbit corresponds to the weight $\mathbf{n}$. Pick two non-parallel integral vectors $\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2$. The key point is that the above ring has certain relations

$$\chi_{\mathbf{n}_1,0} \cdot \chi_{-\mathbf{n}_1,0} = (1+p)^{c_1} \tag{6.24}$$

$$\chi_{\mathbf{n}_2,0} \cdot \chi_{-\mathbf{n}_2,0} = (1+p)^{c_2} \tag{6.25}$$

The only units corresponding to contractible orbits are all of the form $p^d$ for some $d$. Then $\Delta(\beta_{1,c}t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1}) = \alpha_{1,c}t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1} = p^d$ for some $d$. Let $j : Q \hookrightarrow X$ be a putative exact embedding of a Lagrangian which is finitely covered by $S^1 \times B$. Because $L$ is aspherical, we have that $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{L}Q)$ is concentrated in non-negative degree. Since $p$ is invertible, we have that $j^!(p)$ lies entirely in degree 0. Then $j^!((1+p)^{c_i}) \neq 0$. When $g > 1$, this follows since $j^!(p) = h^s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$. When $g = 1$, there are six Euclidean closed oriented three manifolds (including $T^3$) which $Q$ could potentially be. In this case, the non-vanishing follows because $j^!(p) \neq -1$ and there are no zero divisors in any of these group rings of $\pi_1(Q)$ (this can be seen either directly or from the general theory of such polycyclic-by-finite groups). To conclude, observe that the above relations therefore force there to be a) non-trivial elements of the center of $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(Q)]$ in homotopy classes disjoint from the subgroup $\langle h \rangle$ and b) for the map $H_1(Q) \to H_1(X)$ to have rank two. We have seen previously that condition a) rules out all manifolds when $g > 1$. When $g = 1$ and $Q$ is distinct from $T^3$, we have that $b_1(Q) \leq 1$ and hence b) implies that $Q = T^3$. □

REMARK 6.19. *The key equations* (6.24) *and* (6.25) *can also be proven using the methods in this paper. Namely, consider the simplest case of conic bundles over* $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ *which compactify to conic bundles over* $\mathbb{C}P^2$ *(in general* $M$ *above can be taken to be a blowup of* $\mathbb{C}P^2$*) with its three toric divisors* $\bar{D}_j$*. Next, let* $\hat{D}_j$ *be the corresponding divisors in* $X$*. Then the classes* $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_{\hat{D}_1} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_1})$ *and* $\mathrm{PSS}_{log}(\alpha_{\hat{D}_2 \cap \hat{D}_3} t^{\vec{\mathbf{v}}_J})$ *corresponding to the fundamental chains on* $\mathring{S}_1$ *and* $\mathring{S}_{[2,3]}$ *can be shown to satisfy* (6.24) *using a more complicated variant of Lemma 6.10.*

We finish this paper by giving some explicit examples of exact Lagrangian embeddings $\#_n S^1 \times S^2$ in conic bundles.

REMARK 6.20. *We caution the reader that in what follows, the conic bundle* $X$ *will depend on* $n$*. We do not know whether it is possible to find a single conic bundle* $X$ *which admits embeddings* $\#_n S^1 \times S^2 \hookrightarrow X$ *for all* $n$*. More generally, given a fixed conic bundle* $X$*, we do not know how to determine for which* $n$ *such embeddings exist.*

To construct our examples, consider first the family of Laurent polynomials

$$h_t(\mathbf{w}) = 1 + t^{-1}w_1 + t^{-1}w_2 + t^{-1}w_1^{-1} + t^{-1}w_2^{-1} \tag{6.26}$$

for $t$ sufficiently large and let $X_t$ denote the resulting conic bundle. For definiteness, we work with the symplectic forms $\omega_{\epsilon_Z}$ and primitives $\theta_{\epsilon_Z}$ on $X_t$ from [**CPU**] which depending on an additional real parameter $\epsilon_Z$ of sufficiently small norm. This involves first taking a tropical localization $Z_{t,1}$ of the hypersurface $Z_t$ as in equation (2.3.1) of *loc. cit.* and then choosing forms as in equations (2.5.16) and (2.7.1). This gives rise to a convex symplectic manifold which is deformation equivalent to the one coming from a choice of polarization. The natural $U(1)$ action rotating the fibers is Hamiltonian for this symplectic form and the fixed point locus lies in the preimage of $\mu = \epsilon_Z$. These forms have the useful property that the symplectic fibration is trivial outside of a neighborhood of the discriminant locus and that the amoeba of the discriminant locus is close to the tropical amoeba of the family (6.26).

We set $Q = \#_3 S^1 \times S^2$ and construct an exact embedding $j : Q \to X_t$. Examples with $n = 2k+1$ can then be obtained by passing to $k$-fold covers of this conic bundle. Set $r_{i,t} = \frac{\log|w_i|}{\log(t)}$, and let $\theta_i$ denote the angular coordinates on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. Define $\mathrm{Log}_t : (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ to be the map which sends $\mathbf{w} \to (r_{1,t}, r_{2,t})$. With this notation in place, we begin by constructing a pair of exact embeddings $\ell_i : C_1 \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ such that Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the square cut out by the inequalities $-1 \leq r_{i,t} \leq 1$. Let $\ell_1 : C_1 \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ denote the exact Lagrangian embedding defined as the locus in

$$\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathrm{Log}_t^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), |w_1| = 1, \theta_2 = 0.\}$$

Let $\ell_2 : C_1 \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ denote the locus in $\mathrm{Log}_t^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ where $|w_2| = 1, \theta_1 = 0$. We have that

$$\ell_i(\partial C_1) \subset Z_{t,1}.$$

Furthermore, these embeddings intersect transversely in a unique point $\ell_1(C_1) \cap \ell_2(C_1)$ and we may perform a Polterovich surgery at this intersection point to obtain a Lagrangian embedding $j_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2} : C_3 \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. In this case, this construction preserves exactness because the inclusion $H_1(\bigsqcup_i C_1 \setminus pt) \to H_1(C_3)$ is surjective. Let $Q$ denote the set of points

$$\{x \subset X_t, \pi_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}(x) \subset j_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}(C_3), \mu(x) = \epsilon_Z\}$$

A local analysis shows that this is an embedded submanifold diffeomorphic to $\#_3 S^1 \times S^2$.

LEMMA 6.21. *The embeddings $j : Q \to X_t$ are exact Lagrangian embeddings.*

PROOF. We first show that the embeddings are Lagrangian. This is obvious away from the legs where the symplectic fibration is trivial and so it suffices to prove the embedding is Lagrangian near the legs. We will simply check this near the leg where $w_1 = -t$; the other calculations are identical. Let $X_H$ denote infinitesimal generator of the circle action. We have that have that the primitive $\theta_{\epsilon_Z}$ vanishes along this vector when $\mu = \epsilon_Z$.

Furthermore $\theta_{\epsilon_Z}$ is $\mathbb{S}^1$-invariant and we also have that the term $\theta_{vc}$ of (2.7.1) *loc. cit.* is locally independent of $\theta_2$. It therefore follows that the restriction of this 1-form agrees with a 1-form of the form $f(r_{1,t})dr_{1,t}$ near $w_1 = -t$ and that $Q$ is Lagrangian. To see that $Q$ is exact, it suffices to check that the integral of $\theta_{\epsilon_Z}$ vanishes along the generators of $H_1(Q)$. But these are all of the four loops over the discriminant locus where $Q \cap \pi^{-1}_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}(Z_{t,1})$. The 1-form $\theta_{\epsilon_Z}$ vanishes identically when restricted to these loops and so the Lagrangian is exact. □

## References


[AbSc1] A. Abbondandolo and M. Schwarz, *Floer homology of cotangent bundles and the loop product*, Geometry and Topology **14** (2010), 1569 –1722. ↑3, 39

[AbSc2] ———, *On the Floer homology of cotangent bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), no. 2, 254–316. ↑3, 39

[A1] M. Abouzaid, *A geometric criterion for generating the Fukaya category*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **112** (2010), 191–240. ↑1, 5, 6, 14, 15

[A2] ———, *Symplectic cohomology and Viterbo's theorem*, Free loop spaces in geometry and topology, 2015, pp. 271–485. ↑3, 39, 40

[AAK] M. Abouzaid, D. Auroux, and L. Katzarkov, *Lagrangian fibrations on blowups of toric varieties and mirror symmetry for hypersurfaces*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **123** (2016). ↑3

[AS] M. Abouzaid and P. Seidel, *An open string analogue of Viterbo functoriality*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 2, 627–718. ↑14, 15, 34

[BJ] P. Biran and Y. Jerby, *The symplectic topology of projective manifolds with small dual*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **19** (2013), 4413–4450. ↑47

[BS] S. Borman and N. Sheridan, *in preparation*. ↑4, 37

[BKT] A. Buch, A. Kresch, and H. Tamvakis, *Quantum pieri rules for isotropic grassmannians*, Inventiones Mathematicae (2009), 345–405. ↑45, 46

[CFH] K. Cieliebak, A. Floer, and H. Hofer, *Symplectic homology. II. A general construction*, Math. Z. **218** (1995), no. 1, 103–122. ↑1

[CFO] K. Cieliebak, U. Frauenfelder, and A. Oancea, *Rabinowitz Floer homology and symplectic homology*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **43** (2010), no. 6, 957–1015. ↑10

[CM] K. Cieliebak and K. Mohnke, *Symplectic hypersurfaces and transversality in Gromov-Witten theory*, J. Symplectic Geom. **5** (2007), no. 3, 281–356. ↑21, 32, 33

[CPU] K. Chan, D. Pomerleano, and Kazushi Ueda, *Lagrangian sections on mirrors of toric CalabiYau 3-folds*, 2016. ↑52, 53

[CS] M. Chas and D. Sullivan, *String topology*, 1999. available at math.GT/9911159. ↑3, 39

[CJ] R. Cohen and J.D.S. Jones, *A homotopy theoretic realization of string topology*, Math. Annalen **324** (2002), 773–798. ↑39

[D] L. Diogo, *Filtered Floer and Symplectic homology via Gromov-Witten theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2012. ↑1, 4

[DL] L. Diogo and S. Lisi, *in preparation*. ↑1, 4

[F] A. Floer, *A relative Morse index for the symplectic action*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), no. 4, 393–407. ↑31

[FH] A. Floer and H. Hofer, *Symplectic homology. I. Open sets in* $\mathbf{C}^n$, Math. Z. **215** (1994), no. 1, 37–88. ↑1

[FHS] A. Floer, H. Hofer, and D. Salamon, *Transversality in elliptic Morse theory for the symplectic action*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 1, 251–292. ↑6, 33, 34

[GL] M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson, *Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group i*, Ann. Math. **111** (1980), 209 –230. ↑42

[GHK1] M. Gross, P. Hacking, and S. Keel, *Birational geometry of cluster algebras*, Algebr. Geom. **2** (2015), no. 2, 137–175. ↑50

[GHK2] ———, *Mirror symmetry for log Calabi-Yau surfaces I*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **122** (2015), 65–168. ↑1

[GM] M. Gross and D. Matessi, *On homological mirror symmetry of toric Calabi-Yau three-folds*, 2015. ↑3

[GP] S. Ganatra and D. Pomerleano, *The Log PSS map is an isomorphism*. In preparation. ↑2, 3, 20

[H1] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977. ↑50

[H2] H. Hauser, *The Hironaka theorem on resolutions of singularities*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (2003), 323–403. ↑50

[HIV] K. Hori, A. Iqbal, and C. Vafa, *D-branes and mirror symmetry*. ↑3

[I] E. Ionel, *GW invariants relative normal crossings divisors* (201103), available at `arXiv:1103.3977`. ↑21

[IP1] E. Ionel and T. H. Parker, *Relative Gromov-Witten invariants*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 1, 45–96. ↑21

[IP2] ———, *The symplectic sum formula for Gromov-Witten invariants*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 3, 935–1025. ↑21

[JS] W. Jaco and P. Shalen, *Seifert fibered spaces in 3-manifolds*, Mem. Amer.Math. Soc. **21** (1979), no. 220. ↑42

[K] P. Kahn, *Pseudohomology and homology*, 2001. ↑27

[KS] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Lectures on motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and wall-crossing formulas*, 2011. Preprint. ↑3

[KN] D. Kotschick and C. Neofytidis, *On three-manifolds dominated by circle bundles*, Math. Z. **274** (2013), no. 1-2, 21–32. ↑41, 42

[M] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1995. ↑46

[MS] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. ↑33, 41, 43, 51

[M1] M. McLean, *Talk at SFT VIII workshop at Humboldt University, August 2016*. ↑20

[M2] ———, *The growth rate of symplectic homology and affine varieties*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 2, 369–442. ↑6, 17, 18, 19

[M3] ———, *The minimal discrepancy of an isolated singularity*, Invent. Math. **204** (2016), 505–594. ↑19, 52

[M4] J. Milnor, *A unique decomposition theorem for 3-manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 1 –7. ↑42

[P1] C. Pech, *Quantum cohomology of the odd symplectic Grassmannian of lines*, Journal of Algebra **375** (2013), 188–215. ↑45

[P2] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*. ↑42

[P3] ———, *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*. ↑42

[PSS] S. Piunikhin, D. Salamon, and M. Schwarz, *Symplectic Floer-Donaldson theory and quantum cohomology*, Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994), 1996, pp. 171–200. ↑2, 25

[R] A. Ritter, *Topological quantum field theory structure on symplectic cohomology*, J. Topol **199** (2013), no. 2, 391–489. ↑3, 42

[S] C. Sabbah, *Introduction to Stokes matrices*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2060, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. ↑43

[SW] D. A. Salamon and J. Weber, *Floer homology and the heat flow*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 5, 1050–1138. ↑3, 39

[Sc] M. Schwarz, *Cohomology Operations from $S^1$-Cobordisms in Floer homology*, Ph.D. Thesis, http://www.mathematik.uni-leipzig.de/~schwarz/, 1995. ↑31

[S1] P. Seidel, *A biased view of symplectic cohomology*, Current developments in mathematics, 2006, 2008, pp. 211–253. ↑1, 7, 8, 17, 47

[S2] ———, *Disjoinable Lagrangian spheres and dilations*, Invent. Math. **197** (2014), no. 2, 299–359. ↑3, 4, 51

[S3] ———, *Fukaya $A_\infty$ structures associated to Lefschetz fibrations III*, 2016. ↑4
[S4] ———, *Fukaya categories and deformations*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), 2002, pp. 351–360. ↑1
[S5] ———, *Lectures on categorical dynamics*, Author's website, 2013. ↑3, 4, 5, 40, 45
[S6] ———, *Suspending Lefschetz fibrations, with an application to local mirror symmetry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **297** (2010), no. 2, 515–528. ↑3
[SS] P. Seidel and J. P. Solomon, *Symplectic cohomology and q-intersection numbers*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 2, 443–477. ↑1, 3, 42, 51
[S] N. Sheridan, *Homological mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in projective space*, Invent. Math. **199** (2015), no. 1, 1 –186. ↑23
[V1] C. Viterbo, *Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications. II*, 1996. Preprint. ↑3, 39
[V2] ———, *Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications. I*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 5, 985–1033. ↑1
[Y] T. Yang, *A Batalin–Vilkovisky algebra structure on the Hochschild cohomology of truncated polynomials*, Topology and Applications **160** (2013), 1633–1651. ↑45